Network Working Group Request for Comments: 3944 Category: Informational T. Johnson U. of North Carolina S. Okubo Waseda University S. Campos ITU-T December 2004

H.350 Directory Services

Status of this Memo

This memo provides information for the Internet community. It does not specify an Internet standard of any kind. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2004).

Abstract

The International Telecommunications Union Standardization Sector (ITU-T) has created the H.350 series of Recommendations that specify directory services architectures in support of multimedia conferencing protocols. The goal of the architecture is to 'directory enable' multimedia conferencing so that these services can leverage existing identity management and enterprise directories. A particular goal is to enable an enterprise or service provider to maintain a canonical source of users and their multimedia conferencing systems, so that multiple call servers from multiple vendors, supporting multiple protocols, can all access the same data store.

Because SIP is an IETF standard, the contents of H.350 and H.350.4 are made available via this document to the IETF community. This document contains the entire normative text of ITU-T Recommendations H.350 and H.350.4 in sections 4 and 5, respectively. The remaining sections are included only in this document, not in the ITU-T version.

Johnson, et al.

Informational

[Page 1]

RFC	3944
-----	------

Table of Contents

1.	Scope
2.	Terminology
3.	Conventions used in this document
4.	н.350
	4.1. Scope
	4.1.1. Design Goals
	4.1.2. Extending the Schema
	4.2. commURIObject Definition
	4.2.1. commURIObject
	4.2.2. commURI
	4.3. CommObject Definition
	4.3.1. commObject
	4.3.2. commUniqueId
	4.3.3. commOwner
	4.3.4. commPrivate
	4.4. CommObject LDIF Files 13 4.4.1. LDIF for commURIObject 13
	4.4.2. LDIF for commObject
_	4.5. H.350 Annex A Indexing Profile
5.	Н.350.4
	5.1. Scope
	5.1.1. Extending the schema
	5.2. Object class definitions
	5.2.1. SIPIdentity
	5.2.2. SIPIdentitySIPURI
	5.2.3. SIPIdentityRegistrarAddress
	5.2.4. SIPIdentityProxyAddress
	5.2.5. SIPIdentityAddress
	5.2.6. SIPIdentityPassword
	5.2.7. SIPIdentityUserName
	5.2.8. SIPIdentityServiceLevel
	5.3. SIPIdentity LDIF Files
	5.4. H.350.4 Annex A Indexing profile
6.	Acknowledgments
0. 7.	Security Considerations
	Security considerations .
8.	
	8.1. Normative References
	8.2. Informative References
9.	Relationship to Other Specifications
10.	Authors' Addresses
	Full Copyright Statement

Johnson, et al. Informational

[Page 2]

1. Scope

The International Telecommunications Union Standardization Sector (ITU-T) has created the H.350 series of Recommendations that specify directory services architectures in support of multimedia conferencing protocols. The goal of the architecture is to 'directory enable' multimedia conferencing so that these services can leverage existing identity management and enterprise directories. A particular goal is to enable an enterprise or service provider to maintain a canonical source of users and their multimedia conferencing systems, so that multiple call servers from multiple vendors, supporting multiple protocols, can all access the same data store.

H.350 architectures are not intended to change the operation of multimedia conferencing protocols in any way. Rather, they are meant to standardize the way the already defined protocol elements are stored in a directory, so that they can be accessed in a standardized manner.

In the H.350 series, Recommendation H.350 specifies the base architecture and object classes, while subordinate Recommendations specify elements that are specific to individual protocols. Currently, the Recommendations include:

- Directory Services Architecture for Multimedia Conferencing н.350 H.350.1 - Directory Services Architecture for H.323 H.350.2 - Directory Services Architecture for H.235 H.350.3 - Directory Services Architecture for H.320 H.350.4 - Directory Services Architecture for SIP H.350.5 - Directory Services Architecture for Non-Standard Protocols

Because SIP is an IETF standard, the contents of H.350 and H.350.4 are made available via this document to the IETF community.

2. Terminology

The following terms are used throughout the document:

- * call server: a protocol-specific signalling engine that routes video or voice calls on the network. In H.323 this entity is a gatekeeper. In SIP, this entity is a SIP Proxy Server. Note that not all signalling protocols use a call server.
- * endpoint: a logical device that provides video and/or voice media encoding/decoding, and signalling functions. Examples include:

Johnson, et al. Informational

[Page 3]

- * a group teleconferencing appliance that is located in a conference room
- * an IP telephone.
- * a software program that takes video and voice from a camera and microphone and encodes it and applies signalling using a host computer.
- enterprise directory: A canonical collection of information about users in an organization. Typically this information is collected from a variety of organizational units to create a whole. For example, Human Resources may provide name and address, Telecommunications may provide the telephone number, Information Technology may provide the email address, etc. For the purposes of this architecture, it is assumed that an enterprise directory is accessible via LDAP.
- * White Pages: An application that allows end users to look up the address of another user. This may be web-based or use some other user interface.
- 3. Conventions used in this document

Conventions in this document conform to ITU-T guidelines. In this Recommendation, the following conventions are used:

"Shall" indicates a mandatory requirement.

"Should" indicates a suggested but optional course of action.

"May" indicates an optional course of action rather than a recommendation that something take place.

References to clauses, sub clauses, annexes and appendices refer to those items within this Recommendation unless another specification is explicitly listed.

4. H.350

The normative text of H.350 is reproduced in this section.

4.1. Scope

This Recommendation describes a directory services architecture for multimedia conferencing using LDAP. Standardized directory services can support association of persons with endpoints, searchable white pages, and clickable dialling. Directory services can also assist in

Johnson, et al. Informational [Page 4] the configuration of endpoints, and user authentication based on authoritative data sources. This document describes a standardized LDAP schema to represent endpoints on the network and associate those endpoints with users. It discusses design and implementation considerations for the inter-relation of video and voice-specific directories, enterprise directories, call servers and endpoints.

The use of a common, authoritative data source for call server, endpoint, user, authentication and white pages information is an important aspect of large scale multimedia conferencing environments. Without a common data source, service providers must create separate processes to manage each of these functions. By standardizing the LDAP schema used to represent the underlying data, products from different system vendors can be deployed together to create an overall application environment. For example, a white pages search engine developed by one provider could serve directory information to IP telephones produced by a second provider, with signalling managed by a call server produced by yet a third provider. Each of these disparate systems can access the same underlying data source, reducing or eliminating the need to coordinate separate management of each system. A significant benefit to the user is that the management of this data can be incorporated into existing customer management tools, allowing for quick and flexible scaling up of applications. Indeed, many technology providers have already incorporate LDAP into their products, but have been forced to do so without benefit of a standardized schema. This Recommendation represents an effort to standardize those representations to improve interoperability and performance.

While URLs are already standardized for several conferencing protocols, their representation in a directory is not. This Recommendation supports a standardized way for URLs to be searched and located. This is a necessary step to support 'clickable dialling'.

Management of endpoint configurations can be improved if the correct settings are stored by the service provider in a location that is accessible to both service provider and endpoint. LDAP provides a convenient storage location that can be accessed by both call server and endpoint; thus it is possible to use the directory to support endpoint configuration, which is important for simplified operation and supporting user mobility. Note that other technologies also support endpoint configuration, notably the use of SNMP for complete configuration and SRV records for obtaining registration server addresses. Therefore, H.350 should be viewed not as an authoritative endpoint configuration architecture, but rather one tool that can

Johnson, et al. Informational

[Page 5]

assist with this task. Note that the use of H.350 has as a feature endpoint specific configuration, where it is desirable that each endpoint has a unique configuration.

This architecture uses a generic object class, called commObject, to represent attributes common to any video or voice protocol. Auxiliary classes represent specific protocols, such as H.323, H.235, or H.320, as described in the H.350.x series of Recommendations. Multiple H.350.x classes can be combined to represent endpoints that support more than one protocol. For example, endpoints that support H.323, H.235 and H.320 would include H.350, H.350.1, H.350.2, and H.350.3 in their LDAP representations. Further, each entry should contain commObject to serve as the entry's structural object class.

There are two basic components in the architecture. The commURI object is a class whose only purpose is to link a person or resource to a commObject. By placing a commURI 'pointer' in an individual's directory entry, that individual becomes associated with the particular targeted commObject. Similarly, commObject contains a pointer, called commOwner, which points to the individual or resource that is associated with the commObject. In this way, people or resources can be associated with endpoints. The only change required in the enterprise directory is the addition of the simple object class commURI. CommObject data may be instantiated in the same or in entirely separate directories, thus allowing flexibility in implementation.

4.1.1. Design Goals

Large-scale deployments of IP video and voice services have demonstrated the need for complementary directory services middleware. Service administrators need call servers that are aware of enterprise directories to avoid duplication of account management processes. Users need 'white pages' to locate other users with whom they wish to communicate. All of these processes should pull their information from canonical data sources in order to reduce redundant administrative processes and ensure information accuracy. The following design criteria are established for this architecture. The architecture will:

- 1) enable endpoint information to be associated with people. Alternately it enables endpoint information to be associated with resources such as conference rooms or classrooms;
- 2) enable online searchable "white pages" where dialling information (e.g., endpoint addresses) can be found, along with other "traditional" directory information about a user, such as name, address, telephone, email, etc.;

Johnson, et al. Informational [Page 6]

- 3) enable all endpoint information to be stored in a canonical data source (the Directory), rather than local to the call server, so that endpoints can be managed through manipulations of an enterprise directory, rather than by direct entry into the call server;
- support the creation of very large-scale distributed 4) directories. These include white pages "portals" that allow searching for users across multiple institutional directories. In this application, each enterprise directory registers itself with (or is unknowingly discovered by) a directory of directories that is capable of searching across multiple LDAP directories;
- 5) be able to support multiple instances of endpoints per user or resource;
- 6) represent endpoints that support more than one protocol, for example, endpoints that are both H.320 and H.323;
- 7) store enough information about endpoint configuration so that correct configuration settings can be documented to end users on a per-endpoint basis, as a support tool, or loaded automatically into the endpoint;
- 8) be extendible as necessary to allow implementation-specific attributes to be included;
- 9) be non-invasive to the enterprise directory, so that support for multimedia conferencing can be added in a modular fashion without significant changes to the enterprise directory.

The scope of this Recommendation does not include extensions of functionality to protocols as defined within the protocols themselves. It is not the intent of the Recommendation to add features, but merely to represent existing protocol attributes. The exception to this case is when functionality is implied by the directory itself, such as the commPrivate attribute.

4.1.2. Extending the Schema

H.350 object classes may be extended as necessary for specific implementations. For example, a class may be extended to support billing reference codes. Extensions to the schema are not considered as part of the Recommendation and do not signify compliance.

Johnson, et al. Informational

[Page 7]

In some cases it may be necessary to extend the H.350 schemas in order to represent more information than is supported by the Recommendations. This may be important for developers that implement proprietary endpoint functionality that needs to be represented by attributes in the directory. It may also be important for enterprise applications. For example 'modelNumber', and 'accountNumber' are examples of attributes that are not defined in the Recommendation but may be useful if implemented. Adding attributes to this architecture must be done in a way that does not break compatibility with this Recommendation.

A full discussion of schema design and extension is beyond the scope of this Recommendation. See IETF RFC 2252 for details. Two basic approaches to schema extension that do not break compatibility with this Recommendation, are extension through subclass and extension through the use of auxiliary classes.

4.1.2.1. Extension Through Subclass

It is possible to create a subclass of an existing predefined object class in order to add new attributes to it. To create a subclass, a new object class must be defined, that is a subclass of the existing one, by indicating in the definition of the new class that the existing class is its superior. Once the subclass is created, new attributes can be defined within it.

The following example shows how the commObject class can be subclassed in order to add an attribute to represent a billing account and a billing manager.

```
objectclass ( BillingInfo-OID
NAME 'BillingInfo'
DESC 'Billing Reference Information'
SUP commObject STRUCTURAL
MAY ( BillingAccount $ BillingManager $ )
)
```

Note that BillingInfo-OID must be replaced by an actual OID. Also note that, whenever a structural class is extended, its subclass must also be structural.

The following sample entry shows the newly created attributes. This example also uses ITU-T Rec. H.350.1 for h323Identity.

dn: commUniqueId=2000,ou=h323identity, dc=company, dc=com objectclass: top objectclass: commObject objectclass: h323Identity

Johnson, et al. Informational [Page 8] objectclass: BillingInfo commUniqueId: 2000 BillingAccount: 0023456 BillingManager: John Smith

Note that this example and approach demonstrate extension of the general commObject object class, and not any individual H.350.x classes. If it is desired to extend an H.350.x auxiliary class, then that should be accomplished through the definition of additional auxiliary classes that support the desired attributes, as described in section 4.1.2.2.

4.1.2.2. Extension Through The Use Of Auxiliary Classes

It is possible to add attributes to an LDAP entry by defining an auxiliary class containing the new attributes and applying those attributes to instantiated values in the directory. The auxiliary class will not be subclassed from any existing object class. Note that it should have the special class top as its superior. The following example creates the same billing account and billing manager attributes as the previous example, but does so by defining them in their own auxiliary class.

objectclass (BillingInfo-OID NAME 'BillingInfo' DESC 'Billing Reference Information' SUP top AUXILIARY MAY (BillingAccount \$ BillingManager \$))

Note how the superior was changed from commObject to top and the object class changed from being a structural to auxiliary.

It is recommended that all attributes in the auxiliary class be optional rather than mandatory. In this way, the auxiliary object class itself can be associated with an entry regardless of whether any values for its attributes are present.

The following example shows a sample endpoint that utilizes the new auxiliary class and attributes. This example also uses H.350.1 for h323Identity.

dn: commUniqueId=2000,ou=h323identity, dc=company, dc=com objectclass: top objectclass: commObject objectclass: BillingInfo

Johnson, et al. Informational

[Page 9]

commUniqueId: 2000 BillingAccount: 0023456 BillingManager: John Smith

4.1.2.3. Object Identifiers

An attribute's Object Identifier (OID) is a unique numerical identifier usually written as a sequence of integers separated by dots. For example, the OID for the commUniqueId is 0.0.8.350.1.1.2.1.1. All attributes must have an OID. OIDs can be obtained from anyone who has one and is willing to delegate a portion of it as an arc, keeping a record of the arc to avoid duplication. Further, the Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA) gives out OIDs to any organization that asks.

4.2. commURIObject Definition

Auxiliary object class that contains the commURI attribute. This attribute is added to a person or resource object to associate one or more commObject instances with that object. Its values are LDAP URIS that point to the associated commObjects, for example, to a user's H.323 conferencing station and SIP IP phone. Note that multiple instances of commURI need not point to the same commObject directory. In fact, each commURI instance could point to an endpoint managed by a different service provider.

4.2.1. commURIObject

```
OID: 0.0.8.350.1.1.1.2.1
objectclasses: (0.0.8.350.1.1.1.2.1
NAME 'commURIObject'
DESC 'object that contains the URI attribute type'
SUP top AUXILIARY
MAY ( commURI )
)
```

4.2.2. COMMURI

OID: 0.0.8.350.1.1.1.1.1 attributetypes:(0.0.8.350.1.1.1.1.1 NAME 'commURI' DESC 'Labeled URI format to point to the distinguished name of the commUniqueId' EQUALITY caseExactMatch SYNTAX 1.3.6.1.4.1.1466.115.121.1.15) Application utility class Standard

Johnson, et al. Informational

[Page 10]

RFC 3944

Number of values multi Definition Labelled URI containing an LDAP URL identifying the directory containing the referenced commObject instance. The search filter specified by this LDAP URL shall specify an equality search of the commUniqueId attribute of the commObject class. Permissible values (if controlled) Notes Used to find the endpoint of the user in question. The label field may be used to represent the function of the endpoint, such as 'home IP phone' or 'desktop video' for user interface display purposes. Note that the label portion of the field may contain spaces as in the example below showing 'desktop video'. Semantics Example applications for which this attribute would be useful Example (LDIF fragment) commURI: ldap://directory.acme.com/dc=acme,dc=com??sub?(commUniqueId=bob) desktop video 4.3. CommObject Definition Abstraction of video or voice over IP device. The commObject class permits an endpoint (H.323 endpoint or SIP user agent or other protocol endpoint) and all their aliases to be represented by a single entry in a directory. Note that every directory entry should contain commObject as the entry's structural object class. That entry may also contain H.350.x auxiliary classes. 4.3.1. commObject OID: 0.0.8.350.1.1.2.2.1 objectclasses: (0.0.8.350.1.1.2.2.1 NAME 'commObject' DESC 'object that contains the Communication attributes' SUP top STRUCTURAL MUST commUniqueId MAY (commOwner \$ commPrivate)) 4.3.2. commUniqueId OID: 0.0.8.350.1.1.2.1.1 attributetypes: (0.0.8.350.1.1.2.1.1 NAME 'commUniqueId' DESC 'To hold the endpoints unique Id' Johnson, et al. Informational [Page 11]

```
RFC 3944
```

```
EQUALITY caseIgnoreIA5Match
  SUBSTR caseIgnoreIA5SubstringsMatch
  SYNTAX 1.3.6.1.4.1.1466.115.121.1.26 )
  Application utility class
       standard
  Number of values
       multi
  Definition
       The endpoint's unique ID.
  Permissible values (if controlled)
  Notes
       This is the RDN of this object. In practice, there will always
  be one and only one commUniqueId for every endpoint. This attribute
  uniquely identifies an endpoint in the commObject directory. It must
  be unique within that directory, but need not be unique globally.
  This attribute has no relationship to the enterprise directory.
  Semantics
  Example applications for which this attribute would be useful
  Example (LDIF fragment)
  commUniqueId: bob
4.3.3. commOwner
  OID: 0.0.8.350.1.1.2.1.2
  attributetypes: 0.0.8.350.1.1.2.1.2
  NAME 'commOwner'
  DESC 'Labeled URI to point back to the original owner'
  EQUALITY caseExactMatch
  SYNTAX 1.3.6.1.4.1.1466.115.121.1.15 )
  Application utility class
       Standard
  Number of values
       multi
  Definition
       Labelled URI format to point back to the person or resource
  object associated with this entry.
  Permissible values (if controlled)
  Notes
       Used as a reverse entry finder of the owner(s). This attribute
  may point to groups. Note that this URI can point to a cn, but in
  applications where it is desired to bind authentication information
  across both the commObject and enterprise directories, it may be
  desirable that commOwner points to a dn rather than a cn, thus
  uniquely identifying the owner of the commObject.
  Semantics
  Example applications for which this attribute would be useful
  Example (LDIF fragment)
```

Johnson, et al. Informational [Page 12]

#

#

#

#

```
commOwner:
   ldap://directory.acme.com/dc=acme,dc=com??sub?(cn=bob%20smith)
   commOwner: uid=bob,ou=people,dc=acme,dc=com
4.3.4. commPrivate
  OID: 0.0.8.350.1.1.2.1.3
   attributetypes: (0.0.8.350.1.1.2.1.3
  NAME 'commPrivate'
  DESC 'To decide whether the entry is visible to world or not'
   SYNTAX 1.3.6.1.4.1.1466.115.121.1.26 )
  Application utility class
       Standard
  Number of values
       multi
  Definition
       To be used by the user and indicate privacy options for an
   endpoint, i.e., unlisted number.
   Permissible values (if controlled)
  Notes
        This attribute is defined as Boolean. Future version of this
  Recommendation may develop a controlled vocabulary for this
  attribute to accommodate multiple types of privacy.
   Semantics
  Example applications for which this attribute would be useful
  Example (LDIF fragment)
   commPrivate: true
4.4. CommObject LDIF Files
  This section contains a schema configuration file for commURIObject
   and commObject that can be used to configure an LDAP server to
   support these classes.
4.4.1. LDIF for commURIObject
# Communication Object Schema
# Schema for Representing Communication Objects in an LDAP Directory
# Abstract
# This document defines the schema for representing Communication
# objects in an LDAP directory [LDAPv3]. It defines schema elements
# to represent a communication object URI [commURIObject].
```

Johnson, et al. Informational

[Page 13]

```
#
                      .1 = Communication related work
#
                      .1.1 = commURIObject
#
                      .1.1.1 = attributes
#
                      .1.1.2 = objectclass
#
                      .1.1.3 = syntax
#
# Attribute Type Definitions
#
#
    The following attribute types are defined in this document:
#
#
        COMMURI
dn: cn=schema
changetype: modify
# if you need to change the definition of an attribute,
#
            then first delete and re-add in one step
#
# if this is the first time you are adding the commObject
# objectclass using this LDIF file, then you should comment
# out the delete attributetypes modification since this will
# fail. Alternatively, if your ldapmodify has a switch to continue
# on errors, then just use that switch -- if you're careful
#
delete: attributetypes
attributetypes: (0.0.8.350.1.1.1.1.1 NAME 'commURI' )
#
# re-add the attributes -- in case there is a change of definition
#
#
add: attributetypes
attributetypes: (0.0.8.350.1.1.1.1.1
    NAME 'commURI'
    DESC 'Labeled URI format to point to the distinguished name of
the commUniqueId'
     EQUALITY caseExactMatch
     SYNTAX 1.3.6.1.4.1.1466.115.121.1.15 )
# Object Class Definitions
#
#
    The following object classes are defined in this document:
#
#
        commURIObject
#
# commURIObject
#
#
    This auxiliary object class represents a URI attribute type
#
```

Johnson, et al. Informational [Page 14]

```
#
delete: objectclasses
objectclasses: (0.0.8.350.1.1.1.2.1 NAME 'commURIObject')
add: objectclasses
objectclasses: (0.0.8.350.1.1.1.2.1
     NAME 'commURIObject'
     DESC 'object that contains the URI attribute type'
     SUP top AUXILIARY
     MAY ( COMMURI )
       )
_
#
# end of LDIF
#
4.4.2. LDIF for commObject
# Communication Object Schema
#
# Schema for Representing Communication Objects in an LDAP Directory
#
# Abstract
#
# This document defines the schema for representing Communication
# objects in an LDAP directory [LDAPv3]. It defines schema elements
# to represent a communication object [commObject].
#
#
#
                      .1 = Communication related work
#
                      .1.2 = commObject
#
                      .1.2.1 = attributes
#
                      .1.2.2 = objectclass
#
                      .1.2.3 = syntax
#
#
# Attribute Type Definitions
#
#
     The following attribute types are defined in this document:
#
#
        commUniqueId
#
        commOwner
#
        commPrivate
dn: cn=schema
changetype: modify
#
# if you need to change the definition of an attribute,
            then first delete and re-add in one step
#
```

```
Johnson, et al. Informational
                                                [Page 15]
```

H.350 Directory Services December 2004

if this is the first time you are adding the commObject # objectclass using this LDIF file, then you should comment # out the delete attributetypes modification since this will # fail. Alternatively, if your ldapmodify has a switch to continue # on errors, then just use that switch -- if you're careful # delete: attributetypes attributetypes: (0.0.8.350.1.1.2.1.1 NAME 'commUniqueId') attributetypes: (0.0.8.350.1.1.2.1.2 NAME 'commOwner') attributetypes: (0.0.8.350.1.1.2.1.3 NAME 'commPrivate') # # re-add the attributes -- in case there is a change of definition # # add: attributetypes attributetypes: (0.0.8.350.1.1.2.1.1 NAME 'commUniqueId' DESC 'To hold the endpoints unique Id' EQUALITY caseIgnoreIA5Match SUBSTR caseIgnoreIA5SubstringsMatch SYNTAX 1.3.6.1.4.1.1466.115.121.1.26) attributetypes: (0.0.8.350.1.1.2.1.2 NAME 'commOwner' DESC 'Labeled URI to point back to the original owner' EQUALITY caseExactMatch SYNTAX 1.3.6.1.4.1.1466.115.121.1.15) attributetypes: (0.0.8.350.1.1.2.1.3 NAME 'commPrivate' DESC 'To decide whether the entry is visible to world or not' SYNTAX 1.3.6.1.4.1.1466.115.121.1.26) # Object Class Definitions # # The following object classes are defined in this document: # # commObject # # commObject # # delete: objectclasses objectclasses: (0.0.8.350.1.1.2.2.1 NAME 'commObject') add: objectclasses objectclasses: (0.0.8.350.1.1.2.2.1 NAME 'commObject'

Johnson, et al. Informational

[Page 16]

```
DESC 'object that contains the Communication attributes'
     SUP top STRUCTURAL
     MUST commUniqueId
     MAY ( commOwner $ commPrivate )
     )
#
# end of LDIF
#
```

4.5. H.350 Annex A Indexing Profile

Indexing of attributes is an implementation-specific activity and depends upon the desired application. Non-indexed attributes can result in search times sufficiently long to render some applications unusable. Notably, user and alias lookup should be fast. The Annex A Indexing Profile describes an indexing configuration for commObject directories that will be optimized for use in directory of directories applications. Use of this profile is optional.

commURI: no recommendation

commUniqueId: equality

commOwner: presence

commPrivate: presence

5. H.350.4

The normative text of H.350 is reproduced in this section.

5.1. Scope

This Recommendation describes an LDAP directory services architecture for multimedia conferencing using SIP. In particular, it defines an LDAP schema to represent SIP User Agents (UAs) on the network and associate those endpoints with users.

This Recommendation is intended to supplement the CommObject directory architecture as discussed in ITU-T Rec. H.350, and not intended to be used as a stand-alone architecture. The implementation of this LDAP schema, together with the use of the H.350 CommObject architecture, facilitates the integration of SIP User Agents and conferencing devices into existing Enterprise Directories, thus allowing the user to perform white page lookups and access clickable dialling supported by SIP devices. The primary reasons for implementing this schema include those listed in ITU-T

Johnson, et al. Informational [Page 17] Rec. H.350 (the CommObject class definition) as they apply specifically to the use of SIP UAs, and to facilitate vendors making SIP services more readily available to their users.

The scope of this Recommendation includes recommendations for the architecture to integrate endpoint information for endpoints using SIP into existing enterprise directories and white pages.

The scope of this Recommendation does not include normative methods for the use of the LDAP directory itself or the data it contains. The purpose of the schema is not to represent all possible data elements in the SIP protocol, but rather to represent the minimal set required to accomplish the design goals enumerated in ITU-T Rec. H.350.

Note that SIP provides well-defined methods for discovering registrar addresses and locating users on the network. Some of the attributes defined here are intended for more trivial or manual implementations and may not be needed for all applications. For example, SIPIdentityRegistrarAddress and SIPIdentityAddress may not be needed for many applications, but are included here for completeness. Thus, SIPIdentitySIPURI is the primary attribute of interest that will be served out, especially for white page directory applications.

5.1.1. Extending the schema

The SIPIdentity classes may be extended as necessary for specific implementations. See the base of ITU-T Rec. H.350 for a discussion on schema extension.

5.2. Object class definitions

The SIPIdentity object class represents SIP User Agents (UAs). It is an auxiliary class and is derived from the commObject class, which is defined in the ITU-T Rec. H.350.

5.2.1. SIPIdentity

```
OID: 0.0.8.350.1.1.6.2.1
objectclasses: (0.0.8.350.1.1.6.2.1
NAME 'SIPIdentity'
DESC 'SIPIdentity object'
SUP top AUXILIARY
MAY ( SIPIdentitySIPURI $ SIPIdentityRegistrarAddress $
  SIPIdentityProxyAddress $ SIPIdentityUserName $
   SIPIdentityPassword $ SIPIdentityServiceLevel $
  userSMIMECertificate )
)
```

Johnson, et al. Informational

[Page 18]

5.2.2. SIPIdentitySIPURI OID: 0.0.8.350.1.1.6.1.1 attributetypes: (0.0.8.350.1.1.6.1.1 NAME 'SIPIdentitySIPURI' DESC 'Universal Resource Indicator of the SIP UA' EQUALITY caseExactMatch SUBSTR caseExactSubstringsMatch SYNTAX 1.3.6.1.4.1.1466.115.121.1.15) Application utility class standard Number of values multi Definition Uniform Resource Identifier that identifies a communication resource in SIP. Usually contains a user name and a host name and is often similar in format to an email address. Permissible values (if controlled) Notes This URI may institute SIP or SIPS (secure). In the event that SIPS is instituted, the URI must reflect that it is using SIPS as opposed to SIP. See Examples below. Semantics Example applications for which this attribute would be useful Online representation of most current listing of a user's SIP(S) UA. Example SIPIdentitySIPURI:sip:alice@foo.com// SIP exampleSIPIdentitySIPURI:sip:alice@152.2.158.212// SIP exampleSIPIdentitySIPURI:sips:bob@birmingham.edu// SIPS example 5.2.3. SIPIdentityRegistrarAddress OID: 0.0.8.350.1.1.6.1.2 attributetypes: (0.0.8.350.1.1.6.1.2 NAME 'SIPIdentityRegistrarAddress' DESC 'specifies the location of the registrar' EQUALITY caseIgnoreIA5Match SYNTAX 1.3.6.1.4.1.1466.115.121.1.26) Application utility class Standard Number of values multi Definition Address for the domain to which the server that handles REGISTER requests and forwarding to the location server for a particular domain belongs. Permissible values (if controlled) Johnson, et al. Informational [Page 19]

RFC 3944

Notes Note that RFC 3261 states that user agents can discover their registrar address by configuration, using the address-of-record, or by multicast. The first scenario, by configuration, is noted as out of scope for RFC 3261. This attribute may be used for the first scenario. It can be accomplished manually, (e.g., a web page that displays a user's correct registrar address) or automatically with an H.350.4 aware user agent. Semantics Example applications for which this attribute would be useful white pages, a web page that displays a user's correct configuration information. Example (LDIF fragment) SIPIdentityRegistrarAddress: 152.2.15.22 //IP address example SIPIdentityRegistrarAddress: sipregistrar.unc.edu //FQDN example 5.2.4. SIPIdentityProxyAddress OID: 0.0.8.350.1.1.6.1.3 attributetypes: (0.0.8.350.1.1.6.1.3 NAME 'SIPIdentityProxyAddress' DESC 'Specifies the location of the SIP Proxy' EQUALITY caseIgnoreIA5Match SYNTAX 1.3.6.1.4.1.1466.115.121.1.26) Application utility class Standard Number of values multi Definition Address which specifies the domain location of SIP proxy within a domain. RFC 3261 defines the role of the SIP proxy. Permissible values (if controlled) Notes SIP User Agents are not REQUIRED to use a proxy, but will in many cases. Semantics Example applications for which this attribute would be useful white pages, a web page that displays a user's correct configuration information. Example (LDIF fragment) SIPIdentityProxyAddress: 172.2.13.234 //IP address example SIPIdentityProxyAddress: sipproxy.unc.edu //FQDN example

Johnson, et al. Informational

[Page 20]

```
5.2.5. SIPIdentityAddress
   OID: 0.0.8.350.1.1.6.1.4
   attributetypes: (0.0.8.350.1.1.6.1.4
  NAME 'SIPIdentityAddress'
   DESC 'IP address or FQDN of the UA'
   EQUALITY caseIgnoreIA5Match
   SYNTAX 1.3.6.1.4.1.1466.115.121.1.26 )
   Application utility class
        standard
  Number of values
        multi
   Definition
        Specifies the IP address or fully qualified domain name of the
   UA.
   Permissible values (if controlled)
  Notes
        This attribute may be useful for applications in which UA to UA
   communication is direct, not involving a proxy or registrar.
   Example applications for which this attribute would be useful
        A web page that displays a user's proper user agent
   configuration information.
   Example (LDIF fragment)
   SIPIdentityAddress: 152.2.121.36 // IP address example
SIPIdentityAddress: ipPhone.foo.org // FQDN example
5.2.6. SIPIdentityPassword
   OID: 0.0.8.350.1.1.6.1.5
   attributetypes: (0.0.8.350.1.1.6.1.5
  NAME 'SIPIdentityPassword'
  DESC 'The user agent SIP password '
   EQUALITY octetStringMatch
   SYNTAX 1.3.6.1.4.1.1466.115.121.1.40 )
  Application utility class
        Standard
  Number of values
       multi
  Definition
        The SIP user agent's password, used for the HTTP digest
   authentication scheme as defined in RFC 2617.
   Permissible values (if controlled)
  Notes
        Because RFC 2069, which was made obsolete by RFC 2617, was used
   as the basis for HTTP Digest in RFC 2543, any SIP servers supporting
  RFC 2617 must ensure backward compatibility with RFC 2069.
        This SIPIdentityUserName, together with SIPIdentityPassword,
   are reserved for the purpose of use with Digest Access
```

Johnson, et al. Informational [Page 21] RFC 3944

Authentication, and not intended for use with Basic Authentication methods.

LDAP provides one method to store user passwords for reference. If passwords are stored in LDAP it makes the LDAP server a particularly valuable target for attack. Implementors are encouraged to exercise caution and implement appropriate security procedures such as encryption, access control, and transport layer security for access to this attribute. Semantics Example applications for which this attribute would be useful Example (LDIF fragment) SIPIdentityPassword: 36zxJmCIB18dM0FVAj

5.2.7. SIPIdentityUserName

OID: 0.0.8.350.1.1.6.1.6 attributetypes: (0.0.8.350.1.1.6.1.6 NAME 'SIPIdentityUserName' DESC 'The user agent user name.' EQUALITY caseIgnoreMatch SUBSTR caseIgnoreSubstringsMatch SYNTAX 1.3.6.1.4.1.1466.115.121.1.15) Application utility class Standard Number of values multi Definition The SIP user agent's user name, used for the HTTP digest authentication scheme as defined in RFC 2617. Permissible values (if controlled) Notes

Because RFC 2069, which was made obsolete by RFC 2617, was used as the basis for HTTP Digest Authentication in RFC 2543, any SIP servers supporting HTTP Digest Authentication as defined in RFC 2617 must ensure backward compatibility with RFC 2069.

This SIPIdentityUserName, together with SIPIdentityPassword, are reserved for the purpose of use with Digest Access Authentication, and not intended for use with Basic Authentication methods.

Note that in many cases the user name will be parsed from the user@proxy.domain portion of the SIP URI. In that case it may not be necessary to populate this attribute. Semantics Example applications for which this attribute would be useful Example (LDIF fragment) SIPIdentityUserName: nelkhour

Johnson, et al. Informational

[Page 22]

5.2.8. SIPIdentityServiceLevel

```
OID: 0.0.8.350.1.1.6.1.7
   attributetypes: (0.0.8.350.1.1.6.1.7
  NAME 'SIPIdentityServiceLevel'
   DESC 'To define services that a user can belong to.'
   EQUALITY caseIgnoreIA5Match
   SUBSTR caseIgnoreIA5SubstringsMatch
   SYNTAX 1.3.6.1.4.1.1466.115.121.1.26 )
   Application utility class
       Standard
  Number of values
       multi
  Definition
       This describes the level of services a user can belong to.
   Permissible values (if controlled)
  Notes
       This attribute does not represent a data element found in SIP.
   SIP itself does not support distinctions in service levels. Instead,
   this attribute provides a mechanism for the storage of service level
   information directly in LDAP. This mapping allows service providers
   to adapt to an existing LDAP directory without changing the values
   of the SIPIdentityServiceLevel instances in the directory.
   Semantics
   Example applications for which this attribute would be useful
   Example (LDIF fragment)
   SIPIdentityServiceLevel: premium
5.3. SIPIdentity LDIF Files
   This clause contains a schema configuration file for SIPIdentity
   that can be used to configure an LDAP server to support this class.
# SIPIdentity Object Schema
#
# Schema for representing SIPIdentity Object in an LDAP Directory
#
# Abstract
#
# This Recommendation defines the schema for representing
SIPIdentity
# object in an LDAP directory [LDAPv3]. It defines schema elements
# to represent an SIPIdentity object [SIPIdentity].
#
#
                      .1 = Communication related work
#
                      .1.6 = SIPIdentity
#
                      .1.6.1 = attributes
#
                      .1.6.2 = objectclass
Johnson, et al. Informational
                                                               [Page 23]
```

```
#
                      .1.6.3 = syntax
#
#
#
# Attribute Type Definitions
#
#
     The following attribute types are defined in this
Recommendation:
#
#
    SIPIdentitySIPURI
#
    SIPIdentityRegistrarAddress
#
    SIPIdentityProxyAddress
#
    SIPIdentityAddress
#
     SIPIdentityPassword
#
    SIPIdentityUserName
#
    SIPIdentityServiceLevel
dn: cn=schema
changetype: modify
# if you need to change the definition of an attribute,
#
            then first delete and re-add in one step
#
# if this is the first time you are adding the SIPIdentity
# objectclass using this LDIF file, then you should comment
# out the delete attributetypes modification since this will
# fail. Alternatively, if your ldapmodify has a switch to continue
# on errors, then just use that switch -- if you are careful
#
delete: attributetypes
attributetypes: (0.0.8.350.1.1.6.1.1 NAME 'SIPIdentitySIPURI' )
attributetypes: (0.0.8.350.1.1.6.1.2 NAME 'SIPIdentityRegistrarAddress')
attributetypes: (0.0.8.350.1.1.6.1.3 NAME 'SIPIdentityProxyAddress')
attributetypes: (0.0.8.350.1.1.6.1.4 NAME 'SIPIdentityAddress' )
attributetypes: (0.0.8.350.1.1.6.1.5 NAME 'SIPIdentityPassword' )
attributetypes: (0.0.8.350.1.1.6.1.6 NAME 'SIPIdentityUserName' )
attributetypes: (0.0.8.350.1.1.6.1.7 NAME 'SIPIdentityServiceLevel')
#
# re-add the attributes -- in case there is a change of definition
#
#
add: attributetypes
attributetypes: (0.0.8.350.1.1.6.1.1
    NAME 'SIPIdentitySIPURI'
    DESC 'Universal Resource Indicator of the SIP UA'
    EQUALITY caseExactMatch
     SUBSTR caseExactSubstringsMatch
     SYNTAX 1.3.6.1.4.1.1466.115.121.1.15 )
```

Johnson, et al. Informational [Page 24] attributetypes: (0.0.8.350.1.1.6.1.2 NAME 'SIPIdentityRegistrarAddress' DESC 'specifies the location of the registrar' EQUALITY caseIgnoreIA5Match SYNTAX 1.3.6.1.4.1.1466.115.121.1.26) attributetypes: (0.0.8.350.1.1.6.1.3 NAME 'SIPIdentityProxyAddress' DESC 'Specifies the location of the SIP Proxy' EQUALITY caseIgnoreIA5Match SYNTAX 1.3.6.1.4.1.1466.115.121.1.26) attributetypes: (0.0.8.350.1.1.6.1.4 NAME 'SIPIdentityAddress' DESC 'IP address of the UA' EQUALITY caseIgnoreIA5Match SYNTAX 1.3.6.1.4.1.1466.115.121.1.26) attributetypes: (0.0.8.350.1.1.6.1.5 NAME 'SIPIdentityPassword' DESC 'The user agent SIP password ' EQUALITY octetStringMatch SYNTAX 1.3.6.1.4.1.1466.115.121.1.40) attributetypes: (0.0.8.350.1.1.6.1.6 NAME 'SIPIdentityUserName' DESC 'The user agent user name.' EQUALITY caseIgnoreMatch SUBSTR caseIgnoreSubstringsMatch SYNTAX 1.3.6.1.4.1.1466.115.121.1.15) attributetypes: (0.0.8.350.1.1.6.1.7 NAME 'SIPIdentityServiceLevel' DESC 'To define services that a user can belong to.' EQUALITY caseIgnoreIA5Match SUBSTR caseIgnoreIA5SubstringsMatch SYNTAX 1.3.6.1.4.1.1466.115.121.1.26) # Object Class Definitions # # The following object class is defined in this Recommendation: # # SIPIdentity # # SIPIdentity # # delete: objectclasses objectclasses: (0.0.8.350.1.1.6.2.1 NAME 'SIPIdentity') add: objectclasses objectclasses: (0.0.8.350.1.1.6.2.1 NAME 'SIPIdentity'

Johnson, et al. Informational [Page 25]

```
RFC 3944
```

```
DESC 'SIPIdentity object'
     SUP top AUXILIARY
     MAY ( SIPIdentitySIPURI $ SIPIdentityRegistrarAddress $
          SIPIdentityProxyAddress $ SIPIdentityAddress $
          SIPIdentityPassword $ SIPIdentityUserName $
          SIPIdentityServiceLevel $ userSMIMECertificate )
     )
_
#
# end of LDIF
#
```

5.4. H.350.4 Annex A Indexing profile

Indexing of attributes is an implementation-specific activity and depends upon the desired application. Non-indexed attributes can result in search times sufficiently long to render some applications unusable. Notably, user and alias lookup should be fast. The Annex A Indexing Profile describes an indexing configuration for SIPIdentity directories that will be optimized for use in directory of directories applications. Use of this profile is optional.

SIPIdentitySIPURI: equality

SIPIdentityRegistrarAddress: no recommendation

SIPIdentityProxyAddress: no recommendation

SIPIdentityAddress: equality

SIPIdentityUserName: equality

SIPIdentityPassword: no recommendation

SIPIdentityServiceLevel: equality

6. Acknowledgments

We are grateful to numerous colleagues for reaching across multiple boundaries of standards bodies, research networks, academia and private industry in order to produce an architecture that works toward integrating multimedia conferencing deployments. In particular, standards from both IETF and ITU-T were drawn from extensively, and the architecture is meant to serve all communities.

Johnson, et al. Informational

[Page 26]

This work developed out of the Video Conferencing Middleware (VidMid-VC) working group, a joint effort of Internet2 (www.internet2.edu) and the Video Development Initiative (www.vide.net). The architecture was developed in response to deployment challenges discovered in the ViDeNet (https//:videnet.unc.edu) academic test bed providing video and voice over IP infrastructure across research networks internationally.

This work was supported in part by a grant from the United States National Science Foundation contract number ANI-0222710.

7. Security Considerations

This section is not present in the ITU-T standard, but gives information for the IETF community. Its content has the consensus of the ITU-T Study Group 16.

H.350 does not alter the security architectures of any particular protocol. However, it does offer a standardized place to store authentication credentials where appropriate. It should be noted that both H.323 and SIP support shared secret authentication (H.235 Annex D and HTTP Digest, respectively). These approaches require that the call server have access to the password. Thus, if the call server or H.350 directory is compromised, passwords also may become compromised. These weaknesses may be due to weaknesses in the systems (H.350 directory or call servers) and their operation rather than in H.350 per se.

The userSMIMECertificate attribute is defined in RFC 2798 (section 2.8) as a part of inetOrgPerson. The SIP user agent's X.509 certificate can be stored in this attribute. When the certificate is present, it can be employed with S/MIME to provide authentication, integrity, and confidentiality as specified in RFC 3261 [5].

It is strongly encouraged that call servers and an H.350 directory mutually authenticate each other before sharing information. Further, it is strongly encouraged that communications between H.350 directories and call servers or endpoints happen over secure communication channels such as SSL or TLS.

Finally, access control lists on LDAP servers are a matter of policy and are not a part of the standard. System administrators are advised to use common sense when setting access control on H.350 attributes. For example, password attributes should only be accessible by the authenticated user, while address attributes might be publicly available.

Johnson, et al. Informational

[Page 27]

8. References

- 8.1. Normative References
 - [1] Hodges, J. and R. Morgan, "Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (v3): Technical Specification", RFC 3377, September 2002.
 - [2] ITU-T Recommendation H.350, "Directory services architecture for multimedia conferencing", 2003.
 - [3] ITU-T Recommendation H.350.4, "Directory services architecture for SIP", 2003.
 - [4] Franks, J., Hallam-Baker P., Hostetler, J., Lawrence, S., Leach, P., Luotonen, A., and L. Stewart, "HTTP Authentication: Basic and Digest Access Authentication", RFC 2617, June 1999.
 - [5] Rosenberg, J., Schulzrinne, H., Camarillo, G., Johnston, A., Peterson, J., Sparks, R., Handley, M., and E. Schooler, "SIP: Session Initiation Protocol", RFC 3261, June 2002.
 - [6] Rosenberg, J. and H. Schulzrinne, "Session Initiation Protocol (SIP): Locating SIP Servers", RFC 3263, June 2002.
 - [7] Smith, M., "Definition of the inetOrgPerson LDAP Object Class", RFC 2798, April 2000.
- 8.2. Informative References
 - [8] ITU-T Recommendation H.350.1, "Directory services architecture for H.323", 2003.
 - [9] ITU-T Recommendation H.350.2, "Directory services architecture for H.235", 2003.
 - [10] ITU-T Recommendation H.350.3, "Directory services architecture for H.320", 2003.
 - [11] ITU-T Recommendation H.350.5, "Directory services architecture for Non-Standard Protocols", 2003.
 - [12] ITU-T Recommendation H.350.6, "Directory services architecture for Call Forwarding and Preferences", 2004.
 - [13] Howes T. and M. Smith, "Understanding And Deploying LDAP Directory Services", New Riders Publishing, ISBN: 1578700701, 1999.

Johnson, et al. Informational [Page 28]

- RFC 3944
 - [14] Howes T. and M. Smith, "LDAP Programming Directory-Enabled Applications with Lightweight Directory Access Protocol", New Riders Publishing, ISBN: 1578700000, 1997.
- 9. Relationship to Other Specifications

This specification is an RFC publication of an ITU-T publication [4], without textual changes within the standard itself (Section 4). The present section appears in the RFC publication only. In order for this specification to be implemented properly, a number of standards pertaining to LDAP [1], [7], H.350 [2], [3], and SIP [4], [5], [6], [7], need to be implemented in whole or in part by the implementor.

For some background information on the ITU and IETF directory service protocols, reading [8], [9], [10], [11], and [12] is valuable, and [13] and [14] are recommended books.

10. Authors' Addresses

Tyler Johnson Editor, H.350 University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, NC 27599

Phone: +1.919.843.7004 EMail: Tyler_Johnson@unc.edu

Sakae Okubo Rapporteur for Q.4/16, ITU-T SG16 Waseda University YRP Ichibankan, 3-4 Hikarinooka Yokosuka-shi, 239-0847 Japan

Phone: +81 46 847 5406 EMail: sokubo@waseda.jp

Simao Ferraz de Campos Neto Counsellor, ITU-T SG 16 International Telecommunication Union Place des Nations Geneva CH1211 - Switzerland

Phone: +41-22-730-6805 EMail: simao.campos@itu.int

Johnson, et al. Informational

[Page 29]

Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2004).

This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in BCP 78, and at www.rfc-editor.org, and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Intellectual Property

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the ISOC's procedures with respect to rights in ISOC Documents can be found in BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at http://www.ietf.org/ipr.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at ietfipr@ietf.org.

Acknowledgement

Funding for the RFC Editor function is currently provided by the Internet Society.

Johnson, et al. Informational

[Page 30]