Network Working Group Request for Comments: 5187 Category: Standards Track P. Pillay-Esnault Cisco Systems A. Lindem Redback Networks June 2008

OSPFv3 Graceful Restart

Status of This Memo

This document specifies an Internet standards track protocol for the Internet community, and requests discussion and suggestions for improvements. Please refer to the current edition of the "Internet Official Protocol Standards" (STD 1) for the standardization state and status of this protocol. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

Abstract

This document describes the OSPFv3 graceful restart. The OSPFv3 graceful restart is identical to that of OSPFv2 except for the differences described in this document. These differences include the format of the grace Link State Advertisements (LSAs) and other considerations.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction				 2
2. Grace Link State Advertisement				 2
2.1. Grace LSA - LS Type				 2
2.2. Grace LSA Format				
3. Additional Considerations for OSPFv3 Graceful Restart				
3.1. Preservation of LSA ID to Prefix Correspondence .				 4
3.2. Preservation of Interface IDs for Link-LSAs,				
Network-LSAs, and Router-LSAs				 4
4. Security Considerations				 5
5. IANA Considerations				 5
6. Acknowledgments				
7. References				
7.1. Normative References				
7.2. Informative References				 6
	-	-	-	 -

1. Introduction

Graceful OSPF restart [GRACE] describes a mechanism to restart the control plane of an OSPFv2 [OSPFv2] router that still has its forwarding plane intact with a minimum of disruption to the network.

In general, the methods described in [GRACE] work for OSPFv3 [OSPFv3] as well. However, OSPFv3 will use a grace-LSA with a different format to signal that a router is initiating (or is about to initiate) a graceful restart. This document describes other OSPFv3 differences as well.

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

2. Grace Link State Advertisement

An OSPFv3 router initiating a graceful restart of its OSPFv3 software originates grace-LSAs. A grace-LSA requests that the router's neighbors aid in its graceful restart by continuing to advertise the router as fully adjacent during the specified grace period. The grace-LSA contains the restarting router grace-period and the reason code indicating the reason for the graceful restart.

In OSPFv3 (refer to section 2.11 of [OSPFv3]), neighboring routers on any link are always identified by their router IDs. This contrasts with the OSPFv2 behavior where neighbors on point-to-point networks and virtual links are identified by their Router IDs, while neighbors on broadcast, Non-Broadcast Multi-Access (NBMA), and point-tomultipoint links are identified by their IPv4 interface addresses. Consequently, there is no requirement for the router-address TLV [GRACE] for OSPFv3 graceful restart.

The TLV formats of the grace-LSA described in [GRACE] remain unchanged.

2.1. Grace LSA - LS Type

A grace-LSA is defined as an LSA with the LS type equal to 0x000b.

LSA function code LS Type Description 11 0x000b GRACE-LSA

Grace-LSA Type and Function Code

Pillay-Esnault & Lindem Standards Track [Page 2]

The S2-bit and S1-bit are set to 0 to indicate link-local flooding scope. The U-bit is set to 0 since it isn't applicable to LSAs with link-local flooding scope.

2.2. Grace LSA Format

The format of a grace LSA is:

2 0 3 1 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 LS age |0|0|0| 11 Link State ID Advertising Router LS sequence number LS checksum Length +-TLVs -+ . . .

Grace-LSA Format

The Link State ID of a grace-LSA in OSPFv3 is the Interface ID of the interface originating the LSA.

The format of each TLV is:

2 1 0 3 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 Length Type Value...

TLV Format

Grace-LSA TLVs are formatted according to section 2.3.2 of [OSPF-TE].

Pillay-Esnault & Lindem Standards Track

[Page 3]

The following is the list of TLVs that can appear in the body of a grace-LSA.

Grace Period (Type=1, Length=4). The number of seconds that the router's neighbors should continue to advertise the router as fully adjacent, regardless of the state of database synchronization between the router and its neighbors. This TLV MUST always appear in a grace-LSA.

Graceful restart reason (Type=2, Length=1). Encodes the reason for the router restart, as one of the following: 0 (unknown), 1 (software restart), 2 (software reload/upgrade), or 3 (switch to redundant control processor). This TLV MUST always appear in a grace-LSA.

3. Additional Considerations for OSPFv3 Graceful Restart

This section describes OSPFv3 unique considerations in addition to those described in [GRACE].

3.1. Preservation of LSA ID to Prefix Correspondence

In OSPFv2, there is a direct correspondence between summary and external LSA IDs and the prefixes being advertised. However, in OSPFv3, the LSA ID for inter-area prefix LSAs and external LSAs is simply an unsigned 32-bit integer. Hence, to avoid network churn during graceful restart, the restarting router MUST preserve the LSA ID to prefix correspondence across graceful restarts.

3.2. Preservation of Interface IDs for Link-LSAs, Network-LSAs, and Router-LSAs

In OSPFv3, the LSA ID for Link-LSAs and Network-LSAs and link descriptions in Router-LSAs map to their corresponding Interface ID. Changes in the Interface ID during graceful restart will result in a mismatch between the restarting router's pre-restart LSAs and its neighbor adjacency state. These disparities will cause the graceful restart to terminate prematurely.

Synchronizing Interface ID changes between neighbors is possible. However, placing the burden on the restarting router to preserve Interface IDs across restarts provides for a more robust, more deterministic, and simpler mechanism. Therefore, the OSPFv3 Interface ID, as described in section 3.1.2 of [OSPFv3], MUST be preserved by the restarting router across restarts.

Pillay-Esnault & Lindem Standards Track [Page 4]

Many implementations currently use the interface's MIB-II IfIndex [MIB-INTF] for Interface ID. The persistence of Interface ID across reboots is described in section 3.1.5 of [MIB-PERS].

4. Security Considerations

[OSPFv3-AUTH] relies on manual key distribution which precludes the use of replay protection that utilizes sequence numbers. The replay of an OSPF Link-Update containing a grace-LSA would allow an attacker to deceive neighboring routers into believing that a router that has been taken out of service (either intentionally or via a malicious action by the same attacker) is still active and is in the process of graceful restart. However, this attack is much more difficult than the obvious replay of standard OSPFv3 hello packets to accomplish the same thing by keeping the adjacency up. Since hello packets are sent more predictably and knowledge of the key is not required, the risk added by OSPFv3 graceful restart is insignificant. Hence, this document does not raise any new security concerns other than those covered in [OSPFv3], [OSPFv3-AUTH], and [GRACE].

5. IANA Considerations

A new LSA function code has been assigned for the OSPFv3 grace-LSA. The assignment of 0x000b has been made in the "OSPFv3 LSA Function Codes" sub-registry of the "Open Shortest Path First v3 (OSPFv3) Parameters" registry. OSPFv3 grace-LSA TLVs and sub-TLVs use the "OSPFv2 Grace LSA Top Level TLV" IANA sub-registry of the "Open Shortest Path First v2 (OSPFv2) Parameters" registry.

6. Acknowledgments

Many thanks to Kireeti Kompella, Les Ginsberg, and David Ward with whom much of this was discussed. The authors also wish to thank Kunihiro Ishiguro and Vivek Dubey for their comments.

This document was produced using Marshall Rose's xml2rfc tool.

- 7. References
- 7.1. Normative References
 - [GRACE] Moy, J., Pillay-Esnault, P., and A. Lindem, "Graceful OSPF Restart", RFC 3623, November 2003.
 - [OSPF-TE] Katz, D., Yeung, D., and K. Kompella, "Traffic Engineering Extensions to OSPF", RFC 3630, September 2003.

Pillay-Esnault & Lindem Standards Track [Page 5]

- [OSPFv2] Moy, J., "OSPF Version 2", STD 54, RFC 2328, April 1998.
- [OSPFv3] Moy, J., Ferguson, D., and R. Coltun, "OSPF for IPv6", RFC 2740, March 1997.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFC's to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.
- 7.2. Informative References
 - [MIB-INTF] McCloghrie, K. and M. Rose, "Management Information Base for network management of TCP/IP-based internets: MIB-II", STD 17, RFC 1213, March 1991.
 - [MIB-PERS] McCloghrie, K. and F. Kastenholz, "The Interfaces Group MIB", RFC 2863, June 2000.
 - [OSPFv3-AUTH] Gupta, M. and N. Melam, "Authentication/ Confidentiality for OSPFv3", RFC 4552, June 2006.

Authors' Addresses

Padma Pillay-Esnault Cisco Systems 3750 Cisco Way San Jose, CA 95134 USA

EMail: ppe@cisco.com

Acee Lindem Redback Networks 102 Carric Bend Court Cary, NC 27519 USA

EMail: acee@redback.com

[Page 6]

Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The IETF Trust (2008).

This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in BCP 78, and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY, THE IETF TRUST AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Intellectual Property

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at http://www.ietf.org/ipr.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at ietf-ipr@ietf.org.

Pillay-Esnault & Lindem Standards Track

[Page 7]