Jon Postel 19 JUN 75

Revised FTP Reply Codes

This document describes a revised set of reply codes for the File Transfer Protocol.

The aim of this revision is to satisfy the goal of using reply codes to enable the command issuing process to easily determine the outcome of each command. The user protocol interpreter should be able to determine the success or failure of a command by examining the first digit of the reply code.

An important change in the sequencing of commands and replies which may not be obvious in the following documents concerns the establishment of the data connection.

In the previous FTP specifications when an actual transfer command (STOR, RETR, APPE, LIST, NLIST, MLFL) was issued the preliminary reply was sent after the data connection was established. This presented a problem for some user protocol interpreters which had difficulty monitoring two connections asynchronously.

The current specification is that the preliminary reply to the actual transfer commands indicates that the file can be transferred and either the connection was previously established or an attempt is about to be made to establish the data connection.

This reply code revision is a modification of the protocol in described in RFC 542, that is to say that the protocol implementation associated with socket number 21 (decimal) is the protocol specified by the combination of RFC 542 and this RFC.

A note of thanks to those who contributed to this work: Ken Pogran, Mark Krilanovich, Wayne Hathway, and especially Nancy Neigus.

5

1

2

3

4

4a

4b

Nancy Neigus Ken Pogran Jon Postel 19 JUN 75

A New Schema for FTP Reply Codes

7

Replies to File Transfer Protocol commands were devised to ensure the synchronization of requests and actions in the process of file transfer, and to guarantee that the user process always knows the state of the Server. Every command must generate at least one reply, although there may be more than one; in the latter case, the multiple replies must be easily distinguished. In addition, some commands occur in sequential groups, such as USER, PASS and ACCT, or RNFR and RNTO. The replies show the existence of an intermediate state if all preceding commands have been successful. A failure at any point in the sequence necessitates the repetition of the entire sequence from the beginning.

Details of the command-reply sequence will be made explicit in a state diagram.

An FTP reply consists of a three digit number (transmitted as three alphanumeric characters) followed by some text. The number is intended for use by automata to determine what state to enter next; the text is intended for the human user. It is intended that the three digits contain enough encoded information that the user-process (the User-PI described in RFC 542) will not need to examine the text and may either discard it or pass it on to the user, as appropriate. In particular, the text may be server-dependent, so there are likely to be varying texts for each reply code.

Formally, a reply is defined to contain the 3-digit code, followed by Space <SP>, followed by one line of text (where some maximum line length has been specified), and terminated by the TELNET end-of-line code. There will be cases, however, where the text is longer than a single line. In these cases the complete text must be bracketed so the User-process knows when it may stop reading the reply (i.e. stop processing input on the TELNET connection) and go do other things. This requires a special format on the first line to indicate that more than one line is coming, and another on the last line to designate it as the last. At least one of these must contain the appropriate reply code to 8

9

indicate the state of the transaction. To satisfy all factions it was decided that both the first and last line codes should be the same.

Thus the format for multi-line replies is that the first line will begin with the exact required reply code, followed immediately by a Hyphen, "-" (also known as Minus), followed by text. The last line will begin with the same code, followed immediately by Space <SP>, optionally some text, and TELNET <eol>.

For example:

123-First line
Second line
234 A line beginning with numbers
123 The last line

The user-process then simply needs to search for the second occurrence of the same reply code, followed by <SP> (Space), at the beginning of a line, and ignore all intermediary lines. If an intermediary line begins with a 3-digit number, the Server must pad the front to avoid confusion.

This scheme allows standard system routines to be used for reply information (such as for the STAT reply), with "artificial" first and last lines tacked on. In the rare cases where these routines are able to generate three digits and a Space at the beginning of any line, the beginning of each text line should be offset by some neutral text, like Space.

This scheme assumes that multi-line replies may not be nested. We have found that, in general, nesting of replies will not occur, except for random system messages (called spontaneous replies in the previous FTP incarnations) which may interrupt another reply. Spontaneous replies are no longer defined; system messages (i.e. those not processed by the FTP server) will NOT carry reply codes and may occur anywhere in the command-reply sequence. They may be ignored by the User-process as they are only information for the human user.

The three digits of the reply each have a special significance. This is intended to allow a range of very simple to very sophisticated response by the user-process. The first digit denotes whether the response is good, bad or incomplete. (Referring to the state diagram) an unsophisticated user-process will be able to determine its next action (proceed as planned,

redo, retrench, etc.) by simply examining this first digit. A user-process that wants to know approximately what kind of error

10b

10b1

10

10a

10a1

10c

occurred (e.g. file system error, command syntax error) may examine the second digit, reserving the third digit for the finest gradation of information (e.g. RNTO command without a preceding RNFR.) 11 There are four values for the first digit of the reply code: 11a Positive Preliminary reply 11b 1yz The requested action is being initiated; expect another reply before proceeding with a new command. (The user-process sending another command before the completion reply would be in violation of protocol; but server-FTP processes should queue any commands that arrive while a preceeding command is in progress.) This type of reply can be used to indicate that the command was accepted and the user-process may now pay attention to the data connections, for implementations where simultaneous monitoring is difficult. 11b1 2yz Positive Completion reply 11c The requested action has been successfully completed. A new request may be initiated. 11c1 3yz Positive Intermediate reply 11d The command has been accepted, but the requested action is being held in abeyance, pending receipt of further information. The user should send another command specifying this information. This reply is used in command sequence groups. 11d1 4yz Transient Negative Completion reply 11e The command was not accepted and the requested action did not take place, but the error condition is temporary and the action may be requested again. The user should return to the beginning of the command sequence, if any. It is difficult to assign a meaning to "transient", particularly when two distinct sites (Server and User-processes) have to agree on the interpretation. Each reply in the 4yz category might have a slightly different time value, but the intent is that the user-process is encouraged to try again. A rule of thumb in determining if a reply fits into the 4yz or the 5yz (Permanent Negative) category is that replies are 4yz if the commands can be repeated without any

change in command form or in properties of the User or Server (e.g. the command is spelled the same with the same

arguments used; the user does not change his file access our user name; the server does not put up a new	or
implementation.)	11e1
5yz Permanent Negative Completion reply	11f
The command was not accepted and the requested action did not take place. The User-process is discouraged from repeating the exact request (in the same sequence). Even some "permanent" error conditions can be corrected, so the human user may want to direct his User-process to reinitiate the command sequence by direct action at some point in the future (e.g. after the spelling has been changed, or the user has altered his directory status.)	
The following function groupings are encoded in the second digit:	11g
x0z Syntax - These replies refer to syntax errors, syntactically correct commands that don't fit any functional category, unimplemented or superfluous commands.	
xlz Information - These are replies to requests for information, such as status or help.	11g2
x2z Connections - Replies referring to the TELNET and data connections.	11g3
x3z Authentication and accounting - Replies for the logo process and accounting procedures.	on 11g4
x4z Unspecified as yet	11g5
x5z File system - These replies indicate the status of the Server file system vis-a-vis the requested transfer or other file system action.	11g6
The third digit gives a finer gradation of meaning in each of the function categories, specified by the second digit. The list of replies below will illustrate this. Note that the	

list of replies below will illustrate this. Note that the text associated with each reply is suggestive, rather than mandatory, and may even change according to the command with which it is associated. The reply codes, on the other hand, should strictly follow the specifications in the last section; that is, Server implementations should not invent new codes for situations that are only slightly different from the ones described here, but rather should adapt codes already defined.

If additional codes are found to be necessary, the details should be submitted to the FTP committee, through Jon Postel. 11hA command such as TYPE or ALLO whose successful execution does not offer the user-process any new information will cause a 200 reply to be returned. If the command is not implemented by a particular Server-FTP process because it has no relevance to that computer system, for example ALLO at a TENEX site, a Positive Completion reply is still desired so that the simple User-process knows it can proceed with its course of action. A 202 reply is used in this case with, for example, the reply text: "No storage allocation necessary." If, on the other hand, the command requests a non-site-specific action and is unimplemented, the response is 502. A refinement of that is the 504 reply for a command that IS implemented, but that requests an unimplemented parameter. 11h1 11i 200 Command okay 11i1 500 Syntax error, command unrecognized [This may include errors such as command line too 11i2 long.] 501 Syntax error in parameters or arguments 11i3 202 Command not imlemented, superfluous at this site. 11i4 502 Command not implemented 11i5 503 Bad sequence of commands 11i6 504 Command not implemented for that parameter 11i7 11j 110 Restart marker reply. In this case the text is exact and not left to the particular implementation; it must read: MARK yyyy = mmmm where yyyy is User-process data stream marker, and mmmm is Server's equivalent marker. (note the 11j1 spaces between the markers and "=".) 211 System status, or system help reply 11j2 212 Directory status 11j3 213 File status 11j4 214 Help message (on how to use the server or the meaning of a particular non-standard command. This reply 11j5 is useful only to the human user.) 11k 120 Service ready in nnn minutes 11k1 220 Service ready for new user 11k2 221 Service closing TELNET connection (logged off if appropriate) 11k3 421 Service not available, closing TELNET connection. [This may be a reply to any command if the service knows it must shut down.] 11k4

125 225 425 226	Data connection already open; transfer starting Data connection open; no transfer in progress Can't open data connection Closing data connection; requested file action	11k5 11k6 11k7
220	successful (for example, file transfer or file	
	abort.)	11k8
426	Connection trouble, closed; transfer aborted.	11k9
227	Entering [passive, active] mode	11k10
		111
230	User logged on, proceed	1111
530	Not logged in	1112
331	User name okay, need password	1113
332	Need account for login	1114
532	Need account for storing files	1115
		11m
150	File status okay; about to open data connection.	11m1
250	Requested file action okay, completed.	11m2
350	Requested file action pending further information	11m3
450	Requested file action not taken: file unavailable	
	(e.g. file not found, no access)	11m4
550	Requested action not taken: file unavailable (e.g.	
	file busy)	11m5
451	Requested action aborted: local error in processing	11m6
452	Requested action not taken: insufficient storage	
	space in system	11m7
552	Requested file action aborted: exceeded storage	
	allocation (for current directory or dataset)	11m8
553	Requested action not taken: file name not allowed	11m9
354	Start mail input; end with <cr><lf>.<cr><lf></lf></cr></lf></cr>	11m10

Command-Reply Sequences

In this section, the command-reply sequence is presented. Each command is listed with its possible replies; command groups are listed together. Preliminary replies are listed first (with their succeeding replies under them), then positive and negative completion, and finally intermediary replies with the remaining commands from the sequence following. This listing forms the basis for the state diagrams, which will be presented separately. 13

ICP	13a
120	13a1
220	13a1a
220	13a2
421	13a3

12

Logon	13b
USER	13b1
230	13b1a
530	13b1b
500, 501, 421	13b1c
331, 332	13b1d
PASS	13b2
230	13b2a
202	13b2b
530	13b2c
500, 501, 503, 421 332 ACCT 230 202 530 500, 501, 503, 421	13b2c 13b2d 13b2e 13b3 13b3a 13b3b 13b3c 13b3d
Logoff	13c
QUIT	13c1
221	13c1a
500	13c1b
REIN	13c2
120	13c2a
220	13c2a1
220	13c2b
421	13c2c
500, 502	13c2d
Transfer parameters	13d
SOCK	13d1
200	13d1a
500, 501, 421, 530	13d1b
PASV	13d2
227	13d2a
500, 501, 502, 421, 530	13d2b
ACTV	13d3
227	13d3a
202	13d3b
500, 501, 421, 530	13d3c
BYTE, MODE, TYPE, STRU	13d4
200	13d4a
500, 501, 504, 421, 530	13d4a

532, 553

File action commands 13e1 ALLO 200 13e1a 202 13e1b 500, 501, 504, 421, 530 13elc 13e2 REST 500, 501, 502, 421, 530 13e2a 350 13e2b STOR 13e3 125, 150 13e3a (110)13e3a1 226, 250 13e3a2 425, 426, 451, 552 13e3a3 532, 450, 452, 553 13e3b 500, 501, 421, 530 13e3c 13e4 RETR 125, 150 13e4a (110) 13e4a1 226, 250 13e4a2 425, 426, 451 13e4a3 450, 550 13e4b 500, 501, 421, 530 13e4c LIST, NLST 13e5 125, 150 13e5a 226, 250 13e5a1 425, 426, 451 13e5a2 450 13e5b 500, 501, 502, 421, 530 13e5c APPE 13e6 125, 150 13e6a (110) 13e6a1 226, 250 13e6a2 425, 426, 451, 552 13e6a3 532, 450, 550, 452, 553 13e6b 500, 501, 502, 421, 530 13e6c MLFL 13e7 125, 150 13e7a 226, 250 13e7a1 425, 426, 451, 552 13e7a2 532, 450, 550, 452, 553 13e7b 500, 501, 502, 421, 530 13e7c RNFR 13e8 450, 550 13e8a 500, 501, 502, 421, 530 13e8b 13e8c 350 RNTO 13e9 250 13e9a

13e

13e9b

500, 501, 502, 503, 421, 530	13e9c
DELE	13e10
250	13e10a
450, 550	13e10b
500, 501, 502, 421, 530	13e10c
ABOR	13e11
225, 226	13e11a
500, 501, 502, 421	13e11b
MAIL 354 250 451, 552	13e110 13e12 13e12a 13e12a1 13e12a2
450, 550, 452, 553	13e12b
500, 501, 502, 421, 530	13e12c
Informational commands	13f
STAT	13f1
211, 212, 213	13f1a
450	13f1b
500, 501, 502, 421, 530	13f1c
HELP	13f2
211, 214	13f2a
500, 501, 502, 421	13f2b
Miscellaneous commands	13g
SITE	13g1
200	13g1a
202	13g1b
500, 501, 530	13g1c
NOOP	13g2
200	13g2a
500	13g2b

FTP State Diagrams

14

Here we present state diagrams for a very simple minded FTP implementation. Only the first digit of the reply codes is used. There is one state diagram for each group of FTP commands or command sequences.	15
The command groupings were determined by constructing a model for each command then collecting together the commands with structurally identical models.	16
For each command or command sequence there are three possible outcomes: success (S), failure (F), and error (E). In the state diagrams below we use the symbol B for "begin", and the symbol W for "wait for reply".	17
We first present the diagram that represents the largest group of FTP commands:	18
1,3 ++ >! E ! ! ++ ! B !>! W !>! S !	

! B !----->! W !---->! S ! +---+ +---+ ! ! 4,5 +---+ ---->! F !

18a

This diagram models the commands: 18b

ABOR, ACTV, ALLO, BYTE, DELE, HELP, MODE, NOOP, PASV, QUIT, SITE, SOCK, STAT, STRU, TYPE. 18b1

The other large group of commands is represented by a very similar diagram:



19a

19b

20

21

19

This diagram models the commands:

APPE, (ICP), LIST, MLFL, NLST, REIN, RETR, STOR. 19b1

Note that this second model could also be used to represent the first group of commands, the only difference being that in the first group the 100 series replies are unexpected and therefore treated as error, while the second group expects (some may require) 100 series replies.

The remaining diagrams model command sequences, perhaps the simplest of these is the rename sequence:

+---+ RNFR +---+ 1,2 +---+ ! B !---->! W !---->! E ! +---+ +---+ -->+---+ !!!!! 3 ! ! 4,5 ! ----- ! ! ! +---+ ----->! S ! ! ! ! 1,3 ! ! +---+ ! ! 2! -----!!!!! ! V +---+ RNTO +---+ 4,5 ---->+---+ ! !---->! W !---->! F ! +--+ +--+ +--+

21a

A very similar diagram models the Mail command:



22a

Note that the "text" here is a series of lines sent from the user to the server with no response expected until the last line is sent, recall that the last line must consist only of a single period.

22b

The next diagram is a simple model of the Restart command:



23a

23

Where "cmd" is APPE, STOR, RETR, or MLFL. 23a1

We note that the above three models are similar, in fact the Mail diagram and the Rename diagram are structurally identical. The Restart differs from the other two only in the treatment of 100 series replies at the second stage.

24

The most complicated diagram is for the Logon sequence:

	1
++ USER	++>++
! B !	->! W ! 2>! E !
++	++ !>++
	!!!!!
	3 ! ! 4,5 ! ! !
	!!!
!	! ! ! !
!	! ! ! !
!	!
!	1! !!!
V	! !!!
	++ 2 !>++
	->! W !>! S !
++	++>++
	3 ! !4,5! ! !
	!
!	
!	!!!!
1	1 2 4 4 4 4
1	1,3! !!!
V	! 2! ! !
	++ !>++
	->! W ! 4,5>! F ! ++>++
++	++

25a

Finally we present a generalized diagram that could be used to model the command and reply interchange:

26

26a