Independent Submission Request for Comments: 7281 Category: Informational ISSN: 2070-1721 A. Melnikov Isode Ltd June 2014

Authentication-Results Registration for S/MIME Signature Verification

Abstract

RFC 7001 specifies the Authentication-Results header field for conveying results of message authentication checks. This document defines a new authentication method to be used in the Authentication-Results header field for S/MIME-related signature checks.

Status of This Memo

This document is not an Internet Standards Track specification; it is published for informational purposes.

This is a contribution to the RFC Series, independently of any other RFC stream. The RFC Editor has chosen to publish this document at its discretion and makes no statement about its value for implementation or deployment. Documents approved for publication by the RFC Editor are not a candidate for any level of Internet Standard; see Section 2 of RFC 5741.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7281.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2014 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document.

Melnikov

Informational

[Page 1]

Table of Contents

1. Introduction
2. Conventions Used in This Document2
3. "smime" Authentication Method2
3.1. S/MIME Results
3.2. Email Authentication Parameters for S/MIME4
3.2.1. body.smime-part4
3.2.2. body.smime-identifier4
3.2.3. body.smime-serial and body.smime-issuer5
3.3. Examples
4. IANA Considerations
5. Security Considerations9
6. References
6.1. Normative References10
6.2. Informative References10
Appendix A. Acknowledgements11

1. Introduction

[RFC7001] specifies the Authentication-Results header field for conveying results of message authentication checks. As S/MIME signature verification (and alteration) is sometimes implemented in border message transfer agents, guards, and gateways (for example, see [RFC3183]), there is a need to convey signature verification status to Mail User Agents (MUAs) and downstream filters. This document defines a new authentication method to be used in the Authentication-Results header field for S/MIME-related signature checks.

2. Conventions Used in This Document

The formal syntax uses the Augmented Backus-Naur Form (ABNF) [RFC5234] notation, including the core rules defined in Appendix B of [RFC5234].

3. "smime" Authentication Method

S/MIME signature and countersignature verification is represented by the "smime" method and is defined in [RFC5751].

Melnikov

Informational

[Page 2]

3.1. S/MIME Results

The result values used by S/MIME [RFC5751] are as follows:

Result code	Meaning
none	The message was not signed.
pass	The message was signed, the signature or signatures were acceptable to the verifier, and the signature(s) passed verification tests.
fail	The message was signed and the signature or signatures were acceptable to the verifier, but they failed the verification test(s).
policy	The message was signed and signature(s) passed verification tests, but the signature or signatures were not acceptable to the verifier.
neutral	The message was signed but the signature or signatures contained syntax errors or were not otherwise able to be processed. This result is also used for other failures not covered elsewhere in this list.
temperror	The message could not be verified due to some error that is likely transient in nature, such as a temporary inability to retrieve a certificate or Certificate Revocation List (CRL). A later attempt may produce a final result.
permerror	The message could not be verified due to some error that is unrecoverable, such as a required header field being absent or the signer's certificate not being available. A later attempt is unlikely to produce a final result.

A signature is "acceptable to the verifier" if it passes local policy checks (or there are no specific local policy checks). For example, a verifier might require that the domain in the rfc822Name subjectAltName in the signing certificate matches the domain in the address of the sender of the message (value of the Sender header field, if present; value of the From header field otherwise), thus making third-party signatures unacceptable. [RFC5751] advises that

Melnikov

Informational

[Page 3]

if a message fails verification, it should be treated as an unsigned message. A report of "fail" here permits the receiver of the report to decide how to handle the failure. A report of "neutral" or "none" preempts that choice, ensuring that the message will be treated as if it had not been signed.

3.2. Email Authentication Parameters for S/MIME

This document defines several new authentication parameters for conveying S/MIME-related information, such as the location of an S/MIME signature and the identity associated with the entity that signed the message or one of its body parts.

3.2.1. body.smime-part

body.smime-part contains the MIME body part reference that contains the S/MIME signature. The syntax of this property is described by the smime-part ABNF production below. application/pkcs7-signature or application/pkcs7-mime (containing SignedData) media type body parts are referenced using the <section> syntax (see Section 6.4.5 of [RFC3501]). If the signature being verified is encapsulated by another Cryptographic Message Syntax (CMS) content type (e.g., application/pkcs7-mime containing EnvelopedData, which contains SignedData), such an inner signature body part can be referenced using "section[/section..." syntax.

smime-part = section ["/" smime-subpart]
smime-subpart = smime-part
section = <Defined in Section 6.4.5 of [RFC3501]>

3.2.2. body.smime-identifier

body.smime-identifier contains the email address [RFC5322] associated with the S/MIME signature referenced in the corresponding body.smime-part. The email address can be specified explicitly in the signer's X.509 certificate or derived from the identity of the signer. Note that this email address can correspond to a countersignature.

Melnikov

Informational

[Page 4]

3.2.3. body.smime-serial and body.smime-issuer

body.smime-serial contains the serialNumber of the X.509 certificate associated with the S/MIME signature (see Section 4.1.2.2 of [RFC5280]) referenced in the corresponding body.smime-part.

body.smime-issuer contains the issuer name DN (distinguished name) (e.g., "CN=CA1,ST=BC,c=CA") of the X.509 certificate associated with the S/MIME signature (see Section 4.1.2.4 of [RFC5280]) referenced in the corresponding body.smime-part.

Either both or neither of body.smime-serial and body.smime-issuer should be present in an Authentication-Results header field.

body.smime-serial and body.smime-issuer are used for cases when body.smime-identifier (email address) can't be derived by the entity adding the corresponding Authentication-Results header field. For example, this can be used when gatewaying from X.400.

3.3. Examples

Return-Path: <aliceDss@example.com> Authentication-Results: example.net; smime=fail (certificate is revoked by CRL) body.smime-identifier=aliceDss@example.com body.smime-part=2 Received: from ietfa.example.com (localhost [IPv6:::1]) by ietfa.example.com (Postfix) with ESMTP id 2875111E81A0; Fri, 06 Sep 2002 00:35:14 -0700 (PDT) MIME-Version: 1.0 To: User2@example.com From: aliceDss@example.com Subject: Example 4.8 Message-Id: <020906002550300.249@example.com> Date: Fri, 06 Sep 2002 00:25:21 -0700 Content-Type: multipart/signed; micalg=SHA1; boundary="----=_NextBoundary____Fri,_06_Sep_2002_00:25:21"; protocol="application/pkcs7-signature"

Melnikov

Informational

[Page 5]

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextBoundary____Fri,_06_Sep_2002_00:25:21

This is some sample content. -----=_NextBoundary____Fri,_06_Sep_2002_00:25:21 Content-Type: application/pkcs7-signature; name=smime.p7s Content-Transfer-Encoding: base64 Content-Disposition: attachment; filename=smime.p7s

MIIDdwYJKoZIhvcNAQcCoIIDaDCCA2QCAQExCTAHBgUrDgMCGjALBgkqhkiG9w0BBwGgggL gMIIC3DCCApugAwIBAgICAMgwCQYHKoZIzjgEAzASMRAwDgYDVQQDEwdDYXJsRFNTMB4XDT k5MDgxNzAxMTA00VoXDTM5MTIzMTIzNTk10VowEzERMA8GA1UEAxMIQWxpY2VEU1MwggG2M IIBKwYHKoZIzjgEATCCAR4CgYEAgY3N7YPqCp45PsJIKKPkR5PdDteoDuxTxauECE//lOFz SH4M1vNESNH+n6+koYkv4dkwyDbeP5u/t0zcX2mK5HXQNwyRCJWb3qde+fz0ny/dQ6iLVPE /sAcIR01diMPDtbPjVQh11Tl2EMR4vf+dsISXN/LkURu15AmWXPN+W9sCFQDiR6YaRWa4E8 baj7g3IStii/eTzQKBgCY40BSJMqo5+z5t2UtZakx2IzkEAjVc8ssaMMMeUF3dmlnizaoFP VjAe612uG4Hr32KQiWn9HXPSgheSz6Q+G3qnMkhijt2FOnOL12jB80jhbgvMAF8bUmJEYk2 RL34yJVKU1a14v1z7BphNh8Rf8K97dFQ/5h0wtGBSmA5ujY5A4GEAAKBgFzjuVp1FJYLqXr d4z+p7Kxe3L23ExE0phaJKBEj2TSGZ3V1ExI9Q1tv5VG/+onyohs+JH09B41bY8i7RaWgSu OF1s4GgD/oI34a8iSrUxq4Jw0e7wi/ZhSAXGKsZfoVi/G7NNTSljf2YUeyxDKE8H5BQP1Gp 2NOM/Kl4vTyg+W4o4GBMH8wDAYDVR0TAQH/BAIwADAOBgNVHQ8BAf8EBAMCBsAwHwYDVR0j BBgwFoAUcEQ+gi5vh95K03XjPSC8QyuT8R8wHQYDVR00BBYEFL5sobPjwfftQ3CkzhMB4v3 jl/7NMB8GA1UdEQQYMBaBFEFsaWN1RFNTQGV4YW1wbGUuY29tMAkGByqGSM44BAMDMAAwLQ IUVQykGR9CK41xIjONg2q1PWdrv0UCFQCfYVNSVAtcst3a53Yd4hBSW0NevTFjMGECAQEwG DASMRAwDgYDVQQDEwdDYXJsRFNTAgIAyDAHBgUrDgMCGjAJBgcqhkjOOAQDBC4wLAIUM/mG f6gkgp9Z0XtRdGimJeB/BxUCFGFFJqwYRt1WYcIOQoGiaowqGzVI

-----=_NextBoundary____Fri,_06_Sep_2002_00:25:21--

Melnikov

Informational

[Page 6]

4. IANA Considerations

IANA has added the following entries to the "Email Authentication Methods" sub-registry of the "Email Authentication Parameters" registry:

Method 	Defined in	ptype	Property	Value	Status 	Ver- sion
smime	[RFC5751]	body	smime-part	A reference to the MIME body part that contains the signature, as defined in Section 3.2.1 of [RFC7281].	active	1
smime	[RFC5751]	body	smime- identifier	The email address [RFC5322] associated with the S/MIME signature. The email address can be specified explicitly or derived from the identity of the signer. Note that this email address can correspond to a counter- signature.	active	1

Informational

smime 	[RFC5751] 	body	smime- serial	<pre>serialNumber of the certificate associated with the S/MIME signature (see Section 4.1.2.2 of [RFC5280].</pre>	active	1
smime	[RFC5751]	body	smime- issuer	<pre>Issuer name DN (e.g., "CN=CA1, ST=BC,c=CA") of the certificate associated with the S/MIME signature (see Section 4.1.2.4 of [RFC5280].</pre>	active	1

IANA has added the following entries to the "Email Authentication Result Names" sub-registry of the "Email Authentication Parameters" registry:

+ Code 	Defined	+ Auth Method	Meaning	++ Status
none	[RFC7281]	smime	[RFC7281] Section 3.1	active
pass	[RFC7281]	 smime	[RFC7281] Section 3.1	active
fail	[RFC7281]	 smime	[RFC7281] Section 3.1	active
policy	[RFC7281]	 smime	[RFC7281] Section 3.1	active
neutral	[RFC7281]	 smime	[RFC7281] Section 3.1	active
temperror	[RFC7281]	 smime	[RFC7281] Section 3.1	active
 permerror	[[RFC7281]	 smime +	 [RFC7281] Section 3.1	active

Melnikov

Informational

[Page 8]

5. Security Considerations

This document doesn't add new security considerations not already covered by [RFC7001] and [RFC5751]. In particular, security considerations related to the use of weak cryptography over plaintext, weakening and breaking of cryptographic algorithms over time, and changing the behavior of message processing based on presence of a signature specified in [RFC5751] are relevant to this document. Similarly, the following security considerations specified in [RFC7001] are particularly relevant to this document: Forged Header Fields, Misleading Results, Internal Mail Transfer Agent (MTA) Lists, and Compromised Internal Hosts.

To repeat something already mentioned in RFC 7001, Section 7.1:

An MUA or filter that accesses a mailbox whose messages are handled by a non-conformant MTA, and understands Authentication-Results header fields, could potentially make false conclusions based on forged header fields. A malicious user or agent could forge a header field using the DNS domain of a receiving ADMD as the authserv-id token in the value of the header field and, with the rest of the value, claim that the message was properly authenticated. The non-conformant MTA would fail to strip the forged header field, and the MUA could inappropriately trust it.

For this reason, it is best not to have processing of the Authentication-Results header field enabled by default; instead, it should be ignored, at least for the purposes of enacting filtering decisions, unless specifically enabled by the user or administrator after verifying that the border MTA is compliant. It is acceptable to have an MUA aware of this specification but have an explicit list of hostnames whose Authentication-Results header fields are trustworthy; however, this list should initially be empty.

So, to emphasize this point: whenever possible, MUAs should implement their own S/MIME signature verification instead of implementing this specification.

Note that agents adding Authentication-Results header fields containing S/MIME authentication method might be unable to verify S/MIME signatures inside encrypted CMS content types such as EnvelopedData [RFC5652]. So, agents processing Authentication-Results header fields can't treat the lack of an Authentication-Results header field with S/MIME authentication method as an indication that the corresponding S/MIME signature is missing, invalid, or valid.

Melnikov

Informational

[Page 9]

6. References

- 6.1. Normative References
 - [RFC3501] Crispin, M., "INTERNET MESSAGE ACCESS PROTOCOL -VERSION 4rev1", RFC 3501, March 2003.
 - [RFC5234] Crocker, D. and P. Overell, "Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF", STD 68, RFC 5234, January 2008.
 - [RFC5280] Cooper, D., Santesson, S., Farrell, S., Boeyen, S., Housley, R., and W. Polk, "Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Certificate and Certificate Revocation List (CRL) Profile", RFC 5280, May 2008.
 - [RFC5322] Resnick, P., Ed., "Internet Message Format", RFC 5322, October 2008.
 - [RFC5751] Ramsdell, B. and S. Turner, "Secure/Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (S/MIME) Version 3.2 Message Specification", RFC 5751, January 2010.
 - [RFC7001] Kucherawy, M., "Message Header Field for Indicating Message Authentication Status", RFC 7001, September 2013.
- 6.2. Informative References
 - [RFC3183] Dean, T. and W. Ottaway, "Domain Security Services using S/MIME", RFC 3183, October 2001.
 - [RFC5652] Housley, R., "Cryptographic Message Syntax (CMS)", STD 70, RFC 5652, September 2009.

Informational

[Page 10]

Appendix A. Acknowledgements

Thank you to Murray S. Kucherawy, David Wilson, Jim Schaad, SM, and Steve Kille for comments and corrections on this document.

Author's Address

Alexey Melnikov Isode Ltd 14 Castle Mews Hampton, Middlesex TW12 2NP United Kingdom

EMail: Alexey.Melnikov@isode.com

Informational

[Page 11]