Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) Request for Comments: 8121 Category: Experimental ISSN: 2070-1721 Y. Oiwa H. Watanabe H. Takagi ITRI, AIST K. Maeda Individual Contributor T. Hayashi Lepidum Y. Ioku Individual Contributor April 2017

Mutual Authentication Protocol for HTTP: Cryptographic Algorithms Based on the Key Agreement Mechanism 3 (KAM3)

Abstract

This document specifies cryptographic algorithms for use with the Mutual user authentication method for the Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP).

Status of This Memo

This document is not an Internet Standards Track specification; it is published for examination, experimental implementation, and evaluation.

This document defines an Experimental Protocol for the Internet community. This document is a product of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). It represents the consensus of the IETF community. It has received public review and has been approved for publication by the Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG). Not all documents approved by the IESG are a candidate for any level of Internet Standard; see Section 2 of RFC 7841.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8121.

Oiwa, et al.

Experimental

[Page 1]

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2017 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents

(http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	2
	1.1. Terminology	3
2.	Cryptographic Overview (Non-normative)	3
3.	Authentication Algorithms	4
	3.1. Support Functions and Notations	5
	3.2. Functions for Discrete-Logarithm Settings	5
	3.3. Functions for Elliptic-Curve Settings	7
4.	IANA Considerations	Э
5.	Security Considerations	9
	5.1. General Implementation Considerations	Э
	5.2. Cryptographic Assumptions and Considerations10	C
6.	References	1
	6.1. Normative References12	1
	6.2. Informative References12	2
App	pendix A. (Informative) Group Parameters for Algorithms Based	
	on the Discrete Logarithm13	3
App	pendix B. (Informative) Derived Numerical Values	5
Aut	hors' Addresses	7

1. Introduction

This document specifies algorithms for use with the Mutual authentication protocol for the Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP) [RFC8120] (hereafter referred to as the "core specification"). The algorithms are based on augmented password-based authenticated key exchange (augmented PAKE) techniques. In particular, it uses one of three key exchange algorithms defined in ISO 11770-4 ("Information technology - Security techniques - Key management - Part 4: Mechanisms based on weak secrets") [ISO.11770-4.2006] as its basis.

Oiwa, et al.

Experimental

[Page 2]

To briefly summarize, the Mutual authentication protocol exchanges four values -- K_c1, K_s1, VK_c, and VK_s -- to perform authenticated key exchanges, using the password-derived secret pi and its "augmented version" J(pi). This document defines the set of functions K_c1, K_s1, and J for a specific algorithm family.

Please note that from the point of view of literature related to cryptography, the original functionality of augmented PAKE is separated into the functions K_cl and K_sl as defined in this document, and the functions VK c and VK s, which are defined in Section 12.2 of [RFC8120] as "default functions". For the purpose of security analysis, please also refer to these functions.

1.1. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

The term "natural numbers" refers to non-negative integers (including zero) throughout this document.

This document treats both the input (domain) and the output (codomain) of hash functions as octet strings. When a natural-number output of hash function H is required, it will be notated, for example, as INT(H(s)).

2. Cryptographic Overview (Non-normative)

The cryptographic primitive used in this algorithm specification is based on a variant of augmented PAKE called "APKAS-AMP" (augmented password-authenticated key agreement scheme, version AMP), proposed by T. Kwon and originally submitted to [IEEE-1363.2_2008]. The general flow of the successful exchange is shown below for informative purposes only. The multiplicative notations are used for group operators, and all modulus operations for finite groups (mod q and mod r) are omitted.

Oiwa, et al.

Experimental

[Page 3]

```
C: S_c1 = random
C: K_c1 = g^{(S_c1)}
                ----- ID, K_c1 ----->
C: t 1 = H1(K_c1)
                               S: t_1 = H1(K_c1)
                               S: fetch J = g^pi by ID
                               S: S_s1 = random
                               S: K_s1 = (J * K_c1^{(t_1)})^{(S_s1)}
                <---- K_s1 -----
C: t_2 = H2(K_c1, K_s1)
                               S: t_2 = H2(K_c1, K_s1)
C: z = K_s1^{((S_c1 + t_2) / (S_c1 * t_1 + pi))}
                               S: z' = (K_c1 * g^(t_2))^(S_s1)
(assumption at this point: z = z' if authentication succeeded)
C: VK_c = H4(K_c1, K_s1, z) S: VK_c' = H4(K_c1, K_s1, z')
                ----- VK_C ----->
                               S: assert(VK_c = VK_c')
C: VK_s' = H3(K_c1, K_s1, z) S: VK_s = H3(K_c1, K_s1, z')
               <----- VK_s -----
C: assert(VK_s = VK_s')
```

Note that the concrete (binary) message formats (mapping to HTTP messages), as well as the formal definitions of equations for the latter two messages, are defined in the core specification [RFC8120]. The formal definitions for values corresponding to the first two messages are defined in the following sections.

3. Authentication Algorithms

This document specifies one family of algorithms based on APKAS-AMP, to be used with [RFC8120]. This family consists of four authentication algorithms, which differ only in their underlying mathematical groups and security parameters. These algorithms do not add any additional parameters. The tokens for these algorithms are as follows:

- o iso-kam3-dl-2048-sha256: for the 2048-bit discrete-logarithm setting with the SHA-256 hash function.
- o iso-kam3-dl-4096-sha512: for the 4096-bit discrete-logarithm setting with the SHA-512 hash function.
- o iso-kam3-ec-p256-sha256: for the 256-bit prime-field elliptic-curve setting with the SHA-256 hash function.
- o iso-kam3-ec-p521-sha512: for the 521-bit prime-field elliptic-curve setting with the SHA-512 hash function.

Oiwa, et al. Experimental

[Page 4]

For discrete-logarithm settings, the underlying groups are the 2048-bit and 4096-bit Modular Exponential (MODP) groups defined in [RFC3526]. See Appendix A for the exact specifications for the groups and associated parameters. Hash function H is SHA-256 for the 2048-bit group and SHA-512 for the 4096-bit group, respectively, as defined in FIPS PUB 180-4 [FIPS.180-4.2015]. The hash iteration count nIterPi is 16384. The representation of the parameters "kcl", "ks1", "vkc", and "vks" is base64-fixed-number.

For the elliptic-curve settings, the underlying groups are the elliptic curves over the prime fields P-256 and P-521, respectively, as specified in Appendix D.1.2 of the FIPS PUB 186-4 [FIPS.186-4.2013] specification. Hash function H is SHA-256 for the P-256 curve and SHA-512 for the P-521 curve, respectively. Cofactors of these curves are 1. The hash iteration count nIterPi is 16384. The representation of the parameters "kcl", "ksl", "vkc", and "vks" is hex-fixed-number.

Note: This algorithm is based on the Key Agreement Mechanism 3 (KAM3) as defined in Section 6.3 of ISO/IEC 11770-4 [ISO.11770-4.2006], with a few modifications/improvements. However, implementers should consider this document as normative, because several minor details of the algorithm have changed and major improvements have been made.

3.1. Support Functions and Notations

The algorithm definitions use the support functions and notations defined below.

Decimal notations are used for integers in this specification by default. Integers in hexadecimal notations are prefixed with "0x".

In this document, the octet(), OCTETS(), and INT() functions are used as defined in the core specification [RFC8120].

Note: The definition of OCTETS() is different from the function GE2OS_x in the original ISO specification; GE2OS_x takes the shortest representation without preceding zeros.

All of the algorithms defined in this specification use the default functions defined in Section 12.2 of [RFC8120] for computing the values pi, VK_c, and VK_s.

Oiwa, et al.

Experimental

[Page 5]

3.2. Functions for Discrete-Logarithm Settings

In this section, an equation $(x / y \mod z)$ denotes a natural number w less than z that satisfies $(w * y) \mod z = x \mod z$.

For the discrete logarithm, we refer to some of the domain parameters by using the following symbols:

o q: for "the prime" defining the MODP group.

o g: for "the generator" associated with the group.

o r: for the order of the subgroup generated by g.

The function J is defined as

 $J(pi) = g^{(pi)} \mod q$

The value of K_cl is derived as

 $K_c1 = g^{(S_c1)} \mod q$

where S_c1 is a random integer within the range [1, r-1] and r is the size of the subgroup generated by g. In addition, S_cl MUST be larger than $\log(q)/\log(g)$ (so that $g^{(S_c1)} > q$).

The server MUST check the condition $1 < K_c 1 < q-1$ upon reception.

Let an intermediate value t_1 be

t_1 = INT(H(octet(1) | OCTETS(K_c1)))

The value of K_s1 is derived from J(pi) and K_c1 as

 $K_s1 = (J(pi) * K_c1^{(t_1)})^{(S_s1)} \mod q$

where S_s1 is a random number within the range [1, r-1]. The value of K_sl MUST satisfy $1 < K_sl < q-1$. If this condition is not held, the server MUST reject the exchange. The client MUST check this condition upon reception.

Let an intermediate value t_2 be

t_2 = INT(H(octet(2) | OCTETS(K_c1) | OCTETS(K_s1)))

The value z on the client side is derived by the following equation:

 $z = K_s1^{((S_c1 + t_2) / (S_c1 * t_1 + pi) \mod r) \mod q}$

Oiwa, et al.

Experimental

[Page 6]

The value z on the server side is derived by the following equation:

 $z = (K_c1 * g^{(t_2)})^{(S_s1)} \mod q$

(Note: The original ISO specification contained a message pair containing verification of value z along with the "transcript" of the protocol exchange. This functionality is contained in the functions VK_c and VK_s.)

3.3. Functions for Elliptic-Curve Settings

For the elliptic-curve settings, we refer to some of the domain parameters by the following symbols:

- o q: for the prime used to define the group.
- o G: for the point defined with the underlying group called "the generator".
- o h: for the cofactor of the group.
- o r: for the order of the subgroup generated by G.

The function P(p) converts a curve point p into an integer representing point p, by computing $x * 2 + (y \mod 2)$, where (x, y)are the coordinates of point p. P'(z) is the inverse of function P; that is, it converts an integer z to a point p that satisfies P(p) = z. If such p exists, it is uniquely defined. Otherwise, z does not represent a valid curve point.

The operator "+" indicates the elliptic-curve group operation, and the operation [x] * p denotes an integer-multiplication of point p: it calculates $p + p + \dots$ (x times) $\dots + p$. See the literature on elliptic-curve cryptography for the exact algorithms used for those functions (e.g., Section 3 of [RFC6090]; however, note that [RFC6090] uses different notations). 0_E represents the infinity point. The equation $(x / y \mod z)$ denotes a natural number w less than z that satisfies $(w * y) \mod z = x \mod z$.

The function J is defined as

J(pi) = [pi] * G

Oiwa, et al.

Experimental

[Page 7]

The value of K_c1 is derived as

 $K_c1 = P(K_c1')$, where $K_c1' = [S_c1] * G$

where S_c1 is a random number within the range [1, r-1]. The server MUST check that (1) the value of received K_c1 represents a valid curve point and (2) [h] * K_c1' is not equal to 0_E.

Let an intermediate integer t_1 be

 $t_1 = INT(H(octet(1) | OCTETS(K_c1)))$

The value of K_s1 is derived from J(pi) and $K_c1' = P'(K_c1)$ as

 $K_s1 = P([S_s1] * (J(pi) + [t_1] * K_c1'))$

where S_s1 is a random number within the range [1, r-1]. The value of K_s1 MUST represent a valid curve point and satisfy [h] * P'(K_s1) <> 0_E. If this condition is not satisfied, the server MUST reject the exchange. The client MUST check this condition upon reception.

Let an intermediate integer t_2 be

t_2 = INT(H(octet(2) | OCTETS(K_c1) | OCTETS(K_s1)))

The value z on the client side is derived by the following equation:

 $z = P([(S_c1 + t_2) / (S_c1 * t_1 + pi) mod r] * P'(K_s1))$

The value z on the server side is derived by the following equation:

 $z = P([S_s1] * (P'(K_c1) + [t_2] * G))$

Experimental

[Page 8]

4. IANA Considerations

This document defines four new tokens that have been added to the "HTTP Mutual Authentication Algorithms" registry:

+	Description	Reference
iso-kam3-dl-2048-sha256	ISO-11770-4 KAM3, 2048-bit DL	RFC 8121
iso-kam3-dl-4096-sha512	ISO-11770-4 KAM3, 4096-bit DL	RFC 8121
iso-kam3-ec-p256-sha256	ISO-11770-4 KAM3, 256-bit EC	RFC 8121
 iso-kam3-ec-p521-sha512 	ISO-11770-4 KAM3, 521-bit EC	RFC 8121

5. Security Considerations

Please refer to the Security Considerations section of the core specification [RFC8120] for algorithm-independent considerations.

- 5.1. General Implementation Considerations
 - o During the exchange, the value VK_s, defined in [RFC8120], MUST only be sent when the server has received a correct (expected) value of VK_c. This is a cryptographic requirement, as stated in [ISO.11770-4.2006].
 - o All random numbers used in these algorithms MUST be cryptographically secure against forward and backward guessing attacks.
 - o To prevent timing-based side-channel attacks, computation times of all numerical operations on discrete-logarithm group elements and elliptic-curve points MUST be normalized and made independent of the exact values.

Oiwa, et al.

Experimental

[Page 9]

5.2. Cryptographic Assumptions and Considerations

The notes in this subsection are for those who analyze the security of this algorithm and those who might want to make a derived work from this algorithm specification.

- o The treatment of an invalid K_s1 value in the exchange has been changed from the method defined in the original ISO specification, which specifies that the sender should retry with another random S sl value. We specify that the exchange must be rejected. This is due to an observation that this condition is less likely to result from a random error caused by an unlucky choice of S_s1 but is more likely the result of a systematic failure caused by an invalid J(pi) value (even implying possible denial-of-service attacks).
- o The usual construction of authenticated key exchange algorithms consists of a key exchange phase and a key verification phase. To avoid security risks or vulnerabilities caused by mixing values from two or more key exchanges, the latter usually involves some kinds of exchange transactions to be verified. In the algorithms defined in this document, such verification steps are provided in the generalized definitions of VK_c and VK_s in [RFC8120]. If the algorithm defined above is used in other protocols, this aspect MUST be given careful consideration.
- o The domain parameters chosen and specified in this document are based on a few assumptions. In the discrete-logarithm setting, q has to be a safe prime ([(q - 1) / 2] must also be prime), and r should be the largest possible value [(q - 1) / 2]. In the elliptic-curve setting, r has to be prime. Implementers defining a variation of this algorithm using a different domain parameter SHOULD be attentive to these conditions.

Oiwa, et al.

Experimental

[Page 10]

6. References

6.1. Normative References

[FIPS.180-4.2015]

National Institute of Standards and Technology, "Secure Hash Standard (SHS)", FIPS PUB 180-4, DOI 10.6028/NIST.FIPS.180-4, August 2015, <http://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/FIPS/ NIST.FIPS.180-4.pdf>.

[FIPS.186-4.2013] National Institute of Standards and Technology, "Digital Signature Standard (DSS)", FIPS PUB 186-4, DOI 10.6028/NIST.FIPS.186-4, July 2013, <http://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/FIPS/ NIST.FIPS.186-4.pdf>.

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>.
- [RFC3526] Kivinen, T. and M. Kojo, "More Modular Exponential (MODP) Diffie-Hellman groups for Internet Key Exchange (IKE)", RFC 3526, DOI 10.17487/RFC3526, May 2003, <http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3526>.
- [RFC8120] Oiwa, Y., Watanabe, H., Takagi, H., Maeda, K., Hayashi, T., and Y. Ioku, "Mutual Authentication Protocol for HTTP", RFC 8120, DOI 10.17487/RFC8120, April 2017, <http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8120>.

Oiwa, et al.

Experimental

[Page 11]

6.2. Informative References

[IEEE-1363.2_2008]

IEEE, "IEEE Standard Specifications for Password-Based Public-Key Cryptographic Techniques", IEEE 1363.2-2008, DOI 10.1109/ieeestd.2009.4773330, <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/servlet/ opac?punumber=4773328>.

[ISO.11770-4.2006]

International Organization for Standardization, "Information technology -- Security techniques -- Key management -- Part 4: Mechanisms based on weak secrets", ISO Standard 11770-4, May 2006, <http://www.iso.org/iso/iso_catalogue/catalogue_tc/</pre> catalogue_detail.htm?csnumber=39723>.

[RFC6090] McGrew, D., Igoe, K., and M. Salter, "Fundamental Elliptic Curve Cryptography Algorithms", RFC 6090, DOI 10.17487/RFC6090, February 2011, <http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6090>.

Experimental

[Page 12]

Appendix A. (Informative) Group Parameters for Algorithms Based on the Discrete Logarithm

The MODP group used for the iso-kam3-dl-2048-sha256 algorithm is defined by the following parameters:

The prime is

q = 0xFFFFFFF FFFFFFF C90FDAA2 2168C234 C4C6628B 80DC1CD1 29024E08 8A67CC74 020BBEA6 3B139B22 514A0879 8E3404DD EF9519B3 CD3A431B 302B0A6D F25F1437 4FE1356D 6D51C245 E485B576 625E7EC6 F44C42E9 A637ED6B 0BFF5CB6 F406B7ED EE386BFB 5A899FA5 AE9F2411 7C4B1FE6 49286651 ECE45B3D C2007CB8 A163BF05 98DA4836 1C55D39A 69163FA8 FD24CF5F 83655D23 DCA3AD96 1C62F356 208552BB 9ED52907 7096966D 670C354E 4ABC9804 F1746C08 CA18217C 32905E46 2E36CE3B E39E772C 180E8603 9B2783A2 EC07A28F B5C55DF0 6F4C52C9 DE2BCBF6 95581718 3995497C EA956AE5 15D22618 98FA0510 15728E5A 8AACAA68 FFFFFFF FFFFFFF

The generator is

g = 2

The size of the subgroup generated by g is

```
r = (q - 1) / 2 =
```

0x7FFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF	FFFFFFFF	E487ED51	10B4611A	62633145	C06E0E68
94812704	4533E63A	0105DF53	1D89CD91	28A5043C	C71A026E
F7CA8CD9	E69D218D	98158536	F92F8A1B	A7F09AB6	B6A8E122
F242DABB	312F3F63	7A262174	D31BF6B5	85FFAE5B	7A035BF6
F71C35FD	AD44CFD2	D74F9208	BE258FF3	24943328	F6722D9E
E1003E5C	50B1DF82	CC6D241B	0E2AE9CD	348B1FD4	7E9267AF
C1B2AE91	EE51D6CB	0E3179AB	1042A95D	CF6A9483	B84B4B36
B3861AA7	255E4C02	78BA3604	650C10BE	19482F23	171B671D
F1CF3B96	0C074301	CD93C1D1	7603D147	DAE2AEF8	37A62964
EF15E5FB	4AAC0B8C	1CCAA4BE	754AB572	8AE9130C	4C7D0288
0AB9472D	45565534	7fffffff	FFFFFFFF		

Oiwa, et al.

Experimental

[Page 13]

The MODP group used for the iso-kam3-dl-4096-sha512 algorithm is defined by the following parameters:

The prime is

q =	0xFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF	FFFFFFFF	C90FDAA2	2168C234	C4C6628B	80DC1CD1
	29024E08	8A67CC74	020BBEA6	3B139B22	514A0879	8E3404DD
	EF9519B3	CD3A431B	302B0A6D	F25F1437	4FE1356D	6D51C245
	E485B576	625E7EC6	F44C42E9	A637ED6B	0BFF5CB6	F406B7ED
	EE386BFB	5A899FA5	AE9F2411	7C4B1FE6	49286651	ECE45B3D
	C2007CB8	A163BF05	98DA4836	1C55D39A	69163FA8	FD24CF5F
	83655D23	DCA3AD96	1C62F356	208552BB	9ED52907	7096966D
	670C354E	4ABC9804	F1746C08	CA18217C	32905E46	2E36CE3B
	E39E772C	180E8603	9B2783A2	EC07A28F	B5C55DF0	6F4C52C9
	DE2BCBF6	95581718	3995497C	EA956AE5	15D22618	98FA0510
	15728E5A	8AAAC42D	AD33170D	04507A33	A85521AB	DF1CBA64
	ECFB8504	58DBEF0A	8AEA7157	5D060C7D	B3970F85	A6E1E4C7
	ABF5AE8C	DB0933D7	1E8C94E0	4A25619D	CEE3D226	1AD2EE6B
	F12FFA06	D98A0864	D8760273	3EC86A64	521F2B18	177B200C
	BBE11757	7A615D6C	770988C0	BAD946E2	08E24FA0	74E5AB31
	43DB5BFC	EOFD108E	4B82D120	A9210801	1A723C12	A787E6D7
	88719A10	BDBA5B26	99C32718	6AF4E23C	1A946834	B6150BDA
	2583E9CA	2AD44CE8	DBBBC2DB	04DE8EF9	2E8EFC14	1FBECAA6
	287C5947	4E6BC05D	99B2964F	A090C3A2	233BA186	515BE7ED
	1F612970	CEE2D7AF	B81BDD76	2170481C	D0069127	D5B05AA9
	93B4EA98	8D8FDDC1	86FFB7DC	90A6C08F	4DF435C9	34063199
	FFFFFFFF	FFFFFFFF				

The generator is

g = 2

Oiwa, et al.

Experimental

[Page 14]

The size of the subgroup generated by g is

r = (q -	1) /	2 =
----------	------	-----

0x7FFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF	FFFFFFFF	E487ED51	10B4611A	62633145	C06E0E68
94812704	4533E63A	0105DF53	1D89CD91	28A5043C	C71A026E
F7CA8CD9	E69D218D	98158536	F92F8A1B	A7F09AB6	B6A8E122
F242DABB	312F3F63	7A262174	D31BF6B5	85FFAE5B	7A035BF6
F71C35FD	AD44CFD2	D74F9208	BE258FF3	24943328	F6722D9E
E1003E5C	50B1DF82	CC6D241B	0E2AE9CD	348B1FD4	7E9267AF
C1B2AE91	EE51D6CB	0E3179AB	1042A95D	CF6A9483	B84B4B36
B3861AA7	255E4C02	78BA3604	650C10BE	19482F23	171B671D
F1CF3B96	0C074301	CD93C1D1	7603D147	DAE2AEF8	37A62964
EF15E5FB	4AAC0B8C	1CCAA4BE	754AB572	8AE9130C	4C7D0288
0AB9472D	45556216	D6998B86	82283D19	D42A90D5	EF8E5D32
767DC282	2C6DF785	457538AB	AE83063E	D9CB87C2	D370F263
D5FAD746	6D8499EB	8F464A70	2512B0CE	E771E913	0D697735
F897FD03	6CC50432	6C3B0139	9F643532	290F958C	0BBD9006
5df08bAb	BD30AEB6	3B84C460	5D6CA371	047127D0	3A72D598
Aledadfe	707E8847	25C16890	54908400	8D391E09	53C3F36B
C438CD08	5EDD2D93	4CE1938C	357A711E	0D4A341A	5B0A85ED
12C1F4E5	156A2674	6DDDE16D	826F477C	97477E0A	0FDF6553
143E2CA3	A735E02E	CCD94B27	D04861D1	119DD0C3	28ADF3F6
8FB094B8	67716BD7	DC0DEEBB	10B8240E	68034893	EAD82D54
C9DA754C	46C7EEE0	C37FDBEE	48536047	A6FA1AE4	9A0318CC
FFFFFFFF	FFFFFFFF				

[Page 15]

Appendix B. (Informative) Derived Numerical Values

This section provides several numerical values for implementing this protocol. These values are derived from the specifications provided in Section 3. The values shown in this section are for informative purposes only.

+	+ dl-2048	+ dl-4096	ec-p256	ec-p521	+
Size of K_cl, etc.	2048	4096	257	522	(bits)
hSize, size of H()	256	512	256	512	(bits)
Length of OCTETS(K_cl), etc.	256	512	33	66	(octets)
Length of kcl, ksl param. values	 344* 	684*	66	132	(octets)
Length of vkc, vks param. values	44*	88*	64	128	(octets)
 Minimum allowed S_c1 +	2048	4096	1	1	+

(The numbers marked with an "*" do not include any enclosing quotation marks.)

Oiwa, et al.

Experimental

[Page 16]

Authors' Addresses Yutaka Oiwa National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology Information Technology Research Institute Tsukuba Central 1 1-1-1 Umezono Tsukuba-shi, Ibaraki Japan Email: y.oiwa@aist.go.jp Hajime Watanabe National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology Information Technology Research Institute Tsukuba Central 1 1-1-1 Umezono Tsukuba-shi, Ibaraki Japan Email: h-watanabe@aist.go.jp Hiromitsu Takagi National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology Information Technology Research Institute Tsukuba Central 1 1-1-1 Umezono Tsukuba-shi, Ibaraki Japan Email: takagi.hiromitsu@aist.go.jp Kaoru Maeda Individual Contributor Email: kaorumaeda.ml@gmail.com Tatsuya Hayashi Lepidum Co. Ltd. Village Sasazuka 3, Suite #602 1-30-3 Sasazuka Shibuya-ku, Tokyo Japan Email: hayashi@lepidum.co.jp Yuichi Ioku Individual Contributor Email: mutual-work@ioku.org

Oiwa, et al.

Experimental

[Page 17]